

# Density Model for False Killer Whale (*Pseudorca crassidens*) for the U.S. East Coast: Supplementary Report

Duke University Marine Geospatial Ecology Lab\*

Model Version 1.2 - 2015-09-26

## Citation

When referencing our methodology or results generally, please cite our open-access article:

Roberts JJ, Best BD, Mannocci L, Fujioka E, Halpin PN, Palka DL, Garrison LP, Mullin KD, Cole TVN, Khan CB, McLellan WM, Pabst DA, Lockhart GG (2016) Habitat-based cetacean density models for the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. *Scientific Reports* 6: 22615. doi: [10.1038/srep22615](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22615)

To reference this specific model or Supplementary Report, please cite:

Roberts JJ, Best BD, Mannocci L, Fujioka E, Halpin PN, Palka DL, Garrison LP, Mullin KD, Cole TVN, Khan CB, McLellan WM, Pabst DA, Lockhart GG (2015) Density Model for False Killer Whale (*Pseudorca crassidens*) for the U.S. East Coast Version 1.2, 2015-09-26, and Supplementary Report. Marine Geospatial Ecology Lab, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.

## Copyright and License



This document and the accompanying results are © 2015 by the Duke University Marine Geospatial Ecology Laboratory and are licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## Revision History

---

Version	Date	Description of changes
1	2015-01-31	Initial version.
1.1	2015-05-14	Updated calculation of CVs. Switched density rasters to logarithmic breaks. No changes to the model.
1.2	2015-09-26	Updated the documentation. No changes to the model.

---

---

\*For questions, or to offer feedback about this model or report, please contact Jason Roberts ([jason.roberts@duke.edu](mailto:jason.roberts@duke.edu))

# Survey Data

Survey	Period	Length (1000 km)	Hours	Sightings
NEFSC Aerial Surveys	1995-2008	70	412	0
NEFSC NARWSS Harbor Porpoise Survey	1999-1999	6	36	0
NEFSC North Atlantic Right Whale Sighting Survey	1999-2013	432	2330	0
NEFSC Shipboard Surveys	1995-2004	16	1143	1
NJDEP Aerial Surveys	2008-2009	11	60	0
NJDEP Shipboard Surveys	2008-2009	14	836	0
SEFSC Atlantic Shipboard Surveys	1992-2005	28	1731	1
SEFSC Mid Atlantic Tursiops Aerial Surveys	1995-2005	35	196	0
SEFSC Southeast Cetacean Aerial Surveys	1992-1995	8	42	0
UNCW Cape Hatteras Navy Surveys	2011-2013	19	125	0
UNCW Early Marine Mammal Surveys	2002-2002	18	98	0
UNCW Jacksonville Navy Surveys	2009-2013	66	402	0
UNCW Onslow Navy Surveys	2007-2011	49	282	0
UNCW Right Whale Surveys	2005-2008	114	586	0
Virginia Aquarium Aerial Surveys	2012-2014	9	53	0
Total		895	8332	2

Table 2: Survey effort and sightings used in this model. Effort is tallied as the cumulative length of on-effort transects and hours the survey team was on effort. Sightings are the number of on-effort encounters of the modeled species for which a perpendicular sighting distance (PSD) was available. Off effort sightings and those without PSDs were omitted from the analysis.

Season	Months	Length (1000 km)	Hours	Sightings
All_Year	All	897	8332	2

Table 3: Survey effort and on-effort sightings having perpendicular sighting distances.




Figure 1: False killer whale sightings and survey tracklines.






Figure 2: Aerial linear survey effort per unit area.






Figure 3: False killer whale sightings per unit aerial linear survey effort.




Figure 4: Shipboard linear survey effort per unit area.






Figure 5: False killer whale sightings per unit shipboard linear survey effort.






Figure 6: Effective survey effort per unit area, for all surveys combined. Here, effort is corrected by the species- and survey-program-specific detection functions used in fitting the density models.




Figure 7: False killer whale sightings per unit of effective survey effort, for all surveys combined. Here, effort is corrected by the species- and survey-program-specific detection functions used in fitting the density models.

# Detection Functions

The detection hierarchy figures below show how sightings from multiple surveys were pooled to try to achieve Buckland et. al's (2001) recommendation that at least 60-80 sightings be used to fit a detection function. Leaf nodes, on the right, usually represent individual surveys, while the hierarchy to the left shows how they have been grouped according to how similar we believed the surveys were to each other in their detection performance.

At each node, the red or green number indicates the total number of sightings below that node in the hierarchy, and is colored green if 70 or more sightings were available, and red otherwise. If a grouping node has zero sightings—i.e. all of the surveys within it had zero sightings—it may be collapsed and shown as a leaf to save space.

Each histogram in the figure indicates a node where a detection function was fitted. The actual detection functions do not appear in this figure; they are presented in subsequent sections. The histogram shows the frequency of sightings by perpendicular sighting distance for all surveys contained by that node. Each survey (leaf node) receives the detection function that is closest to it up the hierarchy. Thus, for common species, sufficient sightings may be available to fit detection functions deep in the hierarchy, with each function applying to only a few surveys, thereby allowing variability in detection performance between surveys to be addressed relatively finely. For rare species, so few sightings may be available that we have to pool many surveys together to try to meet Buckland's recommendation, and fit only a few coarse detection functions high in the hierarchy.

A blue Proxy Species tag indicates that so few sightings were available that, rather than ascend higher in the hierarchy to a point that we would pool grossly-incompatible surveys together, (e.g. shipboard surveys that used big-eye binoculars with those that used only naked eyes) we pooled sightings of similar species together instead. The list of species pooled is given in following sections.

## Shipboard Surveys




Figure 8: Detection hierarchy for shipboard surveys



## NEFSC Abel-J Binocular Surveys

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	Short-beaked common dolphin	43
<i>Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus</i>	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
<i>Delphinus delphis/Stenella</i>	Short-beaked common dolphin or <i>Stenella</i> spp.	0
<i>Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba</i>	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
<i>Grampus griseus</i>	Risso’s dolphin	152
<i>Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus</i>	Risso’s or Bottlenose dolphin	0
<i>Lagenodelphis hosei</i>	Fraser’s dolphin	0
<i>Lagenorhynchus acutus</i>	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
<i>Lagenorhynchus albirostris</i>	White-beaked dolphin	0
<i>Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus</i>	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
<i>Pseudorca crassidens</i>	False killer whale	0
<i>Stenella</i>	Unidentified <i>Stenella</i>	4
<i>Stenella attenuata</i>	Pantropical spotted dolphin	4
<i>Stenella attenuata/frontalis</i>	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
<i>Stenella clymene</i>	Clymene dolphin	0
<i>Stenella coeruleoalba</i>	Striped dolphin	63
<i>Stenella frontalis</i>	Atlantic spotted dolphin	9
<i>Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus</i>	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
<i>Stenella longirostris</i>	Spinner dolphin	1
<i>Steno bredanensis</i>	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
<i>Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus</i>	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Bottlenose dolphin	82
Total		358

Table 4: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for NEFSC Abel-J Binocular Surveys. The number of sightings, n, is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 5000m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.


Table 5: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			beaufort, size	Yes	0.00	1577
hr			quality, size	Yes	1.35	1558
hr			size	Yes	2.52	1561
hr			quality	Yes	3.94	1586
hr			beaufort, quality	Yes	4.13	1593
hr			beaufort	Yes	4.42	1603
hn	cos	2		Yes	5.28	1504
hr				Yes	5.51	1601
hr	poly	2		Yes	7.06	1551
hr	poly	4		Yes	7.43	1586
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	17.29	1823
hn			beaufort, quality, size	Yes	18.74	1822
hn	cos	3		Yes	20.50	1502
hn			beaufort	Yes	20.71	1817
hn			beaufort, quality	Yes	21.33	1817
hn			quality	Yes	28.71	1823
hn				Yes	29.00	1825
hn			size	Yes	29.10	1825
hn			quality, size	Yes	29.31	1823
hn	herm	4		No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 6: Candidate detection functions for NEFSC Abel-J Binocular Surveys. The first one listed was selected for the density model.

### False killer whale and proxy species

Hazard rate key with covariates beaufort, size  
357 sightings, right truncated at 5000 m



### Q-Q Plot




Figure 9: Detection function for NEFSC Abel-J Binocular Surveys that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 357  
Distance range : 0 - 5000  
AIC : 5689.064

Detection function:

Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	7.4066476	0.28751588
beaufort	-0.1983371	0.10000894
size	0.1366273	0.07421191

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	0.8389089	0.09859879

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.3078884	0.01882296	0.06113567
N in covered region	1159.5109828	87.51962437	0.07547977

Additional diagnostic plots:






Figure 10: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.






Figure 11: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 5000 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 5000 m**




Figure 12: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**NEFSC Endeavor**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	100

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso’s dolphin	121
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso’s or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser’s dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	3
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	3
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	44
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	7
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	1
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	45
Total		324

Table 7: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for NEFSC Endeavor. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 5000m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 8: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hn			beaufort	Yes	0.00	1930
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	1.86	1930
hn	cos	3		Yes	2.67	1684

hn			Yes	4.80	1934
hn	cos	2	Yes	5.68	1833
hn		size	Yes	6.54	1934
hn		quality	Yes	6.66	1934
hr		beaufort	Yes	7.56	2068
hn		quality, size	Yes	8.42	1934
hr		beaufort, size	Yes	8.71	2061
hr	poly	2	Yes	8.83	1805
hr	poly	4	Yes	10.77	1909
hr			Yes	17.87	2030
hr		size	Yes	19.40	2022
hr		quality	Yes	19.70	2039
hr		quality, size	Yes	21.27	2030
hn	herm	4	No		
hn		beaufort, quality	No		
hr		beaufort, quality	No		
hn		beaufort, quality, size	No		
hr		beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 9: Candidate detection functions for NEFSC Endeavor. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 13: Detection function for NEFSC Endeavor that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 318  
Distance range : 0 - 5000  
AIC : 5123.58

Detection function:

Half-normal key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	7.6304947	0.11974801
beaufort	-0.1208508	0.04145359

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.3811258	0.01527091	0.04006791
N in covered region	834.3701363	49.83226006	0.05972441

Additional diagnostic plots:





Figure 14: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.



quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 5000 m






Figure 15: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 5000 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 5000 m**




Figure 16: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**NEFSC Pelican**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	30

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	1
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso’s dolphin	79
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso’s or Bottlenose dolphin	1
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser’s dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	1
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	3
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	30
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	9
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	50
Total		204

Table 10: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for NEFSC Pelican. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 4000m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 11: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			beaufort, size	Yes	0.00	1415
hr			size	Yes	7.23	1322
hr			beaufort	Yes	7.33	1414
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	8.11	1620
hr	poly	4		Yes	11.80	1188

hr	poly	2		Yes	11.89	1151
hn	cos	3		Yes	14.26	1264
hn			size	Yes	14.33	1621
hn	cos	2		Yes	15.26	1363
hr				Yes	16.20	1241
hn			beaufort	Yes	17.75	1611
hn				Yes	22.01	1617
hn	herm	4		No		

Table 12: Candidate detection functions for NEFSC Pelican. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 17: Detection function for NEFSC Pelican that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 203
Distance range       : 0 - 4000
AIC                  : 3178.418
```

```
Detection function:
Hazard-rate key function
```

```
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
      estimate      se
```

```

(Intercept) 7.5744893 0.3362932
beaufort    -0.4167645 0.1313673
size        0.4265673 0.1767956

```

Shape parameters:

```

      estimate      se
(Intercept) 0.7254309 0.141897

```

```

      Estimate      SE      CV
Average p      0.3121106 0.02992486 0.09587904
N in covered region 650.4104741 73.54634955 0.11307682

```

Additional diagnostic plots:






Figure 18: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.




**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 4000 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 4000 m**




Figure 19: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

### SEFSC Oregon II

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	2

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso’s dolphin	156
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso’s or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser’s dolphin	3
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	9
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	17
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	347
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	44
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	48
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	242
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	38
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	22
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	490
Total		1418

Table 13: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for SEFSC Oregon II. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 5000m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 14: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			beaufort, size	Yes	0.00	846
hr			quality, size	Yes	3.79	786
hr			size	Yes	42.44	726

hr			beaufort, quality	Yes	51.11	591
hr			quality	Yes	77.37	546
hr			beaufort	Yes	90.75	522
hr	poly	4		Yes	100.20	506
hr	poly	2		Yes	106.97	530
hr				Yes	124.45	466
hn	cos	2		Yes	347.72	1514
hn	cos	3		Yes	348.30	1360
hn			beaufort, quality, size	Yes	393.03	1959
hn			quality, size	Yes	416.77	1953
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	443.51	1986
hn			beaufort, quality	Yes	455.84	1936
hn			quality	Yes	466.71	1938
hn			size	Yes	467.18	1977
hn			beaufort	Yes	523.73	1948
hn				Yes	533.28	1951
hn	herm	4		No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 15: Candidate detection functions for SEFSC Oregon II. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 20: Detection function for SEFSC Oregon II that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 1397  
Distance range : 0 - 5000  
AIC : 22011.81

Detection function:

Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.2186279	0.20997374
beaufort	-0.5693891	0.06756675
size	2.2555963	0.19744102

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	0	0.03455946

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.063653	6.482307e-03	0.1018382
N in covered region	21947.117886	2.314019e+03	0.1054361

Additional diagnostic plots:





Figure 21: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.

quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 5000 m






Figure 22: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 5000 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 5000 m**




Figure 23: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**NJ-DEP Hugh R. Sharp**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	19



Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	0
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	0
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	160
Total		179

Table 16: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for NJ-DEP Hugh R. Sharp. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 4000m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 17: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			beaufort, size	Yes	0.00	1377
hr			beaufort, quality, size	Yes	1.75	1369
hr			beaufort	Yes	3.38	1206

hr			beaufort, quality	Yes	4.50	1230
hr	poly	4		Yes	5.11	915
hn	cos	3		Yes	8.26	1264
hr			size	Yes	8.29	1080
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	8.82	1847
hr			quality, size	Yes	9.44	1024
hr	poly	2		Yes	10.14	978
hr				Yes	11.84	803
hr			quality	Yes	12.63	823
hn			beaufort	Yes	13.51	1797
hn	cos	2		Yes	19.72	1521
hn			quality, size	Yes	20.75	1842
hn			size	Yes	21.08	1838
hn			quality	Yes	24.69	1812
hn				Yes	24.83	1815
hn	herm	4		No		
hn			beaufort, quality	No		
hn			beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 18: Candidate detection functions for NJ-DEP Hugh R. Sharp. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 24: Detection function for NJ-DEP Hugh R. Sharp that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 177  
Distance range : 0 - 4000  
AIC : 2801.518

Detection function:

Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	6.9376906	0.4645111
beaufort	-0.5811025	0.1584283
size	0.9312215	0.3687349

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	0.2435139	0.154517

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.2205363	0.04259245	0.1931313
N in covered region	802.5890737	165.26700704	0.2059173

Additional diagnostic plots:





Figure 25: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.

quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 4000 m






Figure 26: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 4000 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 4000 m**




Figure 27: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**SEFSC Gordon Gunter**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	9
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	35

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso’s dolphin	129
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso’s or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser’s dolphin	1
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	9
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	30
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	303
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	29
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	78
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	376
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	1
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	24
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	24
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	606
Total		1654

Table 19: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for SEFSC Gordon Gunter. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 6000m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 20: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			beaufort	Yes	0.00	844
hr			size	Yes	54.11	833
hr	poly	4		Yes	106.37	677
hr	poly	2		Yes	117.57	714
hr				Yes	144.60	610



hn			beaufort, size	Yes	357.07	2358
hn	cos	3		Yes	364.21	1660
hn	cos	2		Yes	366.53	1847
hn			beaufort	Yes	440.29	2328
hn			size	Yes	488.62	2392
hn				Yes	558.00	2351
hn	herm	4		No		
hr			beaufort, size	No		

Table 21: Candidate detection functions for SEFSC Gordon Gunter. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 28: Detection function for SEFSC Gordon Gunter that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 1638
Distance range       : 0 - 6000
AIC                  : 26486.34
```

```
Detection function:
Hazard-rate key function
```

```
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
      estimate      se
```

```
(Intercept) 7.4063517 0.18986896
beaufort    -0.9668914 0.07189878
```

Shape parameters:

```
estimate      se
(Intercept)    0 0.03352203
```

```
Estimate      SE      CV
Average p     6.521724e-02 6.783329e-03 0.1040113
N in covered region 2.511606e+04 2.685785e+03 0.1069350
```

Additional diagnostic plots:






Figure 29: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 6000 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 6000 m**




Figure 30: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

### Naked Eye Surveys

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	255

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	72
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	9
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	102
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	36
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	4
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	0
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	48
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	41
Total		567

Table 22: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for Naked Eye Surveys. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1300m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 23: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			beaufort, size	Yes	0.00	350
hr			size	Yes	5.76	352
hr			beaufort	Yes	8.03	326
hr	poly	2		Yes	9.77	281
hr	poly	4		Yes	12.40	307

hr				Yes	15.22	330
hn	cos	2		Yes	24.51	385
hn	cos	3		Yes	33.35	352
hn			size	Yes	58.26	486
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	58.62	487
hn				Yes	78.39	479
hn			beaufort	Yes	78.83	478
hn	herm	4		No		

Table 24: Candidate detection functions for Naked Eye Surveys. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 31: Detection function for Naked Eye Surveys that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 544
Distance range       : 0 - 1300
AIC                  : 7176.773
```

```
Detection function:
Hazard-rate key function
```

```
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
      estimate      se
```

```

(Intercept)  5.4832964 0.18390295
beaufort     -0.1613519 0.05731217
size         0.4285522 0.13370410

```

Shape parameters:

```

          estimate      se
(Intercept) 0.5903231 0.07541553

```

```

          Estimate      SE      CV
Average p      0.247145 0.01545852 0.06254840
N in covered region 2201.137384 160.79366256 0.07305026

```

Additional diagnostic plots:




Figure 32: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.









Figure 33: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

### CODA

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	Short-beaked common dolphin	113

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	29
Grampus griseus	Risso’s dolphin	2
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso’s or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser’s dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	14
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	0
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	32
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	22
Total		212

Table 25: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for CODA. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1300m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 26: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			quality, size	Yes	0.00	261
hr			quality	Yes	3.19	269
hr			beaufort, size	Yes	4.09	247

hr			size	Yes	4.85	238
hr			beaufort	Yes	7.89	249
hr	poly	2		Yes	8.54	199
hr				Yes	9.85	238
hr	poly	4		Yes	10.46	214
hn	cos	2		Yes	19.33	346
hn	cos	3		Yes	34.78	326
hn			quality	Yes	47.65	438
hn			quality, size	Yes	47.93	438
hn			size	Yes	51.89	440
hn				Yes	52.41	441
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	52.81	440
hn			beaufort	Yes	53.20	440
hn	herm	4		No		
hn			beaufort, quality	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hn			beaufort, quality, size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 27: Candidate detection functions for CODA. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 34: Detection function for CODA that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 198  
 Distance range : 0 - 1300  
 AIC : 2557.925

Detection function:  
 Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.3846705	0.32986699
quality	-0.2499530	0.09909297
size	0.2319583	0.13885126

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	0.5121523	0.1063675

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.1774326	0.02046823	0.1153578
N in covered region	1115.9169012	147.95242555	0.1325837

Additional diagnostic plots:





Figure 35: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.

quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1300 m






Figure 36: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 1300 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1300 m**




Figure 37: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**SCANS II Shipboard**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	114



Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	28
Grampus griseus	Risso’s dolphin	7
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso’s or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser’s dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	42
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	32
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	4
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	0
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	4
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	19
Total		250

Table 28: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for SCANS II Shipboard. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1000m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 29: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hn			size	Yes	0.00	462
hn	cos	2		Yes	0.73	361
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	1.47	463

hn			quality, size	Yes	1.78	462
hr				Yes	2.50	379
hr			quality	Yes	4.03	380
hr	poly	4		Yes	4.10	372
hr	poly	2		Yes	4.20	370
hr			beaufort	Yes	4.22	378
hr			quality, size	Yes	6.03	380
hn	cos	3		Yes	10.41	376
hn				Yes	14.12	455
hn			beaufort	Yes	15.37	456
hn			quality	Yes	15.43	455
hn	herm	4		Yes	15.69	454
hn			beaufort, quality	Yes	17.33	456
hr			size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hr			beaufort, size	No		
hn			beaufort, quality, size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 30: Candidate detection functions for SCANS II Shipboard. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 38: Detection function for SCANS II Shipboard that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 247  
Distance range : 0 - 1000  
AIC : 3245.813

Detection function:

Half-normal key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.6435356	0.06781568
size	0.6259412	0.18560451


	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.4405241	0.01935102	0.04392728
N in covered region	560.6958131	36.68734001	0.06543181

Additional diagnostic plots:



Figure 39: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.

quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1000 m






Figure 40: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 1000 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1000 m**




Figure 41: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

# Aerial Surveys




Figure 42: Detection hierarchy for aerial surveys

## NEFSC Surveys With Belly Observers

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are

listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	Short-beaked common dolphin	311
<i>Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus</i>	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
<i>Delphinus delphis/Stenella</i>	Short-beaked common dolphin or <i>Stenella</i> spp.	0
<i>Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba</i>	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
<i>Grampus griseus</i>	Risso’s dolphin	148
<i>Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus</i>	Risso’s or Bottlenose dolphin	0
<i>Lagenodelphis hosei</i>	Fraser’s dolphin	0
<i>Lagenorhynchus acutus</i>	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	220
<i>Lagenorhynchus albirostris</i>	White-beaked dolphin	5
<i>Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus</i>	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
<i>Pseudorca crassidens</i>	False killer whale	0
<i>Stenella</i>	Unidentified <i>Stenella</i>	0
<i>Stenella attenuata</i>	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
<i>Stenella attenuata/frontalis</i>	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
<i>Stenella clymene</i>	Clymene dolphin	0
<i>Stenella coeruleoalba</i>	Striped dolphin	2
<i>Stenella frontalis</i>	Atlantic spotted dolphin	2
<i>Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus</i>	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
<i>Stenella longirostris</i>	Spinner dolphin	0
<i>Steno bredanensis</i>	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
<i>Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus</i>	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
<i>Tursiops truncatus</i>	Bottlenose dolphin	99
Total		787

Table 31: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for NEFSC Surveys With Belly Observers. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1000m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 32: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
-----	------------	-------	------------	-----------	--------------	---------------

hr			size	Yes	0.00	380
hr	poly	4		Yes	18.20	354
hr				Yes	20.16	359
hr	poly	2		Yes	20.32	350
hn	cos	2		Yes	20.44	311
hn			size	Yes	25.50	370
hn	cos	3		Yes	37.76	322
hn				Yes	43.60	364
hn	herm	4		No		
hn			beaufort	No		
hr			beaufort	No		
hn			beaufort, size	No		
hr			beaufort, size	No		

Table 33: Candidate detection functions for NEFSC Surveys With Belly Observers. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 43: Detection function for NEFSC Surveys With Belly Observers that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object  
 Number of observations : 750



Distance range : 0 - 1000  
AIC : 9547.646

Detection function:  
Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:  
estimate se  
(Intercept) 5.4723434 0.05875063  
size 0.4897148 0.09093801

Shape parameters:  
estimate se  
(Intercept) 1.119312 0.06987572


	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.3611765	0.01276499	0.03534280
N in covered region	2076.5469236	95.75679628	0.04611348

Additional diagnostic plots:




Figure 44: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 1000 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1000 m**




Figure 45: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**Mid Atlantic Tursiops Survey 2002-2004**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	3

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	0
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	4
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	2
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	1
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	107
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	599
Total		716

Table 34: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for Mid Atlantic Tursiops Survey 2002-2004. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1296m. The vertical sighting angles were heaped at 10 degree increments, so the candidate detection functions were fitted using linear bins scaled accordingly.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 35: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.


Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			beaufort, size	Yes	0.00	325
hr			beaufort	Yes	7.24	320

hr			size	Yes	15.12	325
hr				Yes	19.50	320
hr	poly	2		Yes	21.50	320
hr	poly	4		Yes	21.50	320
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	24.60	291
hn			beaufort, quality, size	Yes	26.60	291
hn	cos	2		Yes	30.33	279
hn			beaufort	Yes	31.06	289
hn			beaufort, quality	Yes	33.06	289
hn			size	Yes	40.68	292
hn	cos	3		Yes	41.28	267
hn			quality, size	Yes	42.58	292
hn				Yes	44.72	289
hn			quality	Yes	46.63	289
hn	herm	4		Yes	46.67	289
hr			quality	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hr			quality, size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 36: Candidate detection functions for Mid Atlantic Tursiops Survey 2002-2004. The first one listed was selected for the density model.

### False killer whale and proxy species

Hazard rate key with covariates beaufort, size  
715 sightings, right truncated at 1296 m



### Q-Q Plot




Figure 46: Detection function for Mid Atlantic Tursiops Survey 2002-2004 that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 715  
Distance range : 0 - 1296  
AIC : 2772.625

Detection function:  
Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.7367970	0.06707586
beaufort	-0.1711625	0.03979058
size	0.3020980	0.11348684

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	1.410835	0.06851877

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.2429646	7.460291e-03	0.03070526
N in covered region	2942.8157278	1.320027e+02	0.04485592

Additional diagnostic plots:



Figure 47: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.




Figure 48: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.







Figure 49: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

### GulfSCAT Aerial Survey

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	0

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	0
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	0
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	15
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	381
Total		396

Table 37: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for GulfSCAT Aerial Survey. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 400m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 38: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hn	herm	4		Yes	0.00	218
hn	cos	2		Yes	0.09	221
hn				Yes	0.90	199



hn			size	Yes	2.21	199
hn	cos	3		Yes	2.37	209
hr	poly	2		Yes	2.39	218
hr	poly	4		Yes	2.47	223
hr				Yes	4.46	230
hr			size	Yes	5.04	232
hn			beaufort	No		
hr			beaufort	No		
hn			quality	No		
hr			quality	No		
hn			beaufort, quality	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hn			beaufort, size	No		
hr			beaufort, size	No		
hn			quality, size	No		
hr			quality, size	No		
hn			beaufort, quality, size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 39: Candidate detection functions for GulfSCAT Aerial Survey. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 50: Detection function for GulfSCAT Aerial Survey that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 392  
Distance range : 0 - 400  
AIC : 4505.917

Detection function:

Half-normal key function with Hermite polynomial adjustment term of order 4

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	4.855661	0.07416674

Adjustment term parameter(s):

	estimate	se
herm, order 4	-0.04125562	0.01270701

Monotonicity constraints were enforced.

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.5457505	0.04201266	0.07698144
N in covered region	718.2769771	60.45887770	0.08417209

Monotonicity constraints were enforced.

Additional diagnostic plots:





Figure 51: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.

quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 400 m




Figure 52: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.







Figure 53: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**Without Belly Observers - 600 ft**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	5

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso’s dolphin	3
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso’s or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser’s dolphin	4
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	31
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	2
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	0
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	4
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	70
Total		119

Table 40: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for Without Belly Observers - 600 ft. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 600m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 41: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr				Yes	0.00	314
hn				Yes	0.10	272
hn	cos	3		Yes	0.48	294
hn	cos	2		Yes	1.29	302
hn	herm	4		Yes	1.54	299

hr			beaufort	Yes	1.68	312
hr	poly	4		Yes	1.73	307
hn			beaufort	Yes	1.88	272
hr	poly	2		Yes	2.00	314
hn			size	Yes	2.08	272
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	3.86	272
hr			size	No		
hr			beaufort, size	No		

Table 42: Candidate detection functions for Without Belly Observers - 600 ft. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 54: Detection function for Without Belly Observers - 600 ft that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 118
Distance range       : 0 - 600
AIC                  : 1437.11
```

```
Detection function:
Hazard-rate key function
```

```
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
      estimate      se
```

(Intercept) 5.558806 0.1065141

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	1.333903	0.2308296

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.5232155	0.03879933	0.07415554
N in covered region	225.5284856	22.02755411	0.09767083

Additional diagnostic plots:






Figure 55: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 600 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 600 m**




Figure 56: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**Without Belly Observers - 750 ft**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	5



Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	75
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	2
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	3
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	14
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	94
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	12
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	17
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	82
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	11
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	9
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	1597
Total		1921

Table 43: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for Without Belly Observers - 750 ft. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1296m. The vertical sighting angles were heaped at 10 degree increments, so the candidate detection functions were fitted using linear bins scaled accordingly.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 44: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			size	Yes	0.00	392
hr				Yes	8.54	388


hr	poly	2		Yes	10.54	388
hr	poly	4		Yes	10.54	388
hn	cos	2		Yes	39.80	354
hn	cos	3		Yes	60.53	342
hn			size	Yes	82.73	403
hn				Yes	96.67	402
hn	herm	4		Yes	98.19	401
hn			beaufort	No		
hr			beaufort	No		
hn			quality	No		
hr			quality	No		
hn			beaufort, quality	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hn			beaufort, size	No		
hr			beaufort, size	No		
hn			quality, size	No		
hr			quality, size	No		
hn			beaufort, quality, size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

---

Table 45: Candidate detection functions for Without Belly Observers - 750 ft. The first one listed was selected for the density model.

### False killer whale and proxy species

Hazard rate key with size covariate  
1813 sightings, right truncated at 1296 m



### Q-Q Plot




Figure 57: Detection function for Without Belly Observers - 750 ft that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 1813  
Distance range : 0 - 1296  
AIC : 7391.091

Detection function:

Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.608264	0.03895230
size	0.104103	0.02847025

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	1.022219	0.0436235

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.3000856	7.481817e-03	0.02493227
N in covered region	6041.6090058	1.919088e+02	0.03176452

Additional diagnostic plots:




Figure 58: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.






Figure 59: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 1296 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1296 m**




Figure 60: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**SE\_secas92**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	0

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	0
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	1
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	9
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	103
Total		113

Table 46: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for SE\_secas92. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 900m. Due to a reduced frequency of sightings close to the trackline that plausibly resulted from the behavior of the observers and/or the configuration of the survey platform, the sightings were left truncated as well. Sightings closer than 40 m to the trackline were omitted from the analysis, and it was assumed that the area closer to the trackline than this was not surveyed. This distance was estimated by inspecting histograms of perpendicular sighting distances. The vertical sighting angles were heaped at 10 degree increments, so the candidate detection functions were fitted using linear bins scaled accordingly.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 47: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			beaufort	Yes	0.00	249

hr			beaufort, size	Yes	1.98	254
hr			size	Yes	15.77	257
hr				Yes	18.01	216
hn	cos	2		Yes	19.23	189
hr	poly	2		Yes	20.01	216
hr	poly	4		Yes	20.01	215
hn			beaufort	Yes	35.20	260
hn				Yes	41.73	264
hn	cos	3		Yes	41.97	219
hn	herm	4		Yes	43.30	264
hn			size	No		
hn			beaufort, size	No		

Table 48: Candidate detection functions for SE\_secas92. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 61: Detection function for SE\_secas92 that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 108
Distance range       : 40 - 900
AIC                  : 1288.381
```

Detection function:  
Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:  
                  estimate      se  
(Intercept) 5.7829497 0.12346060  
beaufort -0.4573296 0.09973202

Shape parameters:  
                  estimate      se  
(Intercept) 1.299333 0.1172672

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.2208124	0.03796305	0.1719244
N in covered region	489.1028683	94.44375144	0.1930959

Additional diagnostic plots:




Figure 62: Density of sightings by perpendicular distance for SE\_secas92. Black bars on the left show sightings that were left truncated.






Figure 63: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.







Figure 64: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**SE\_secas95**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	0

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	0
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	2
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	1
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	10
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	113
Total		126

Table 49: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for SE\_secas95. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 900m. The vertical sighting angles were heaped at 10 degree increments, so the candidate detection functions were fitted using linear bins scaled accordingly.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 50: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			quality	Yes	0.00	361
hr				Yes	1.17	370


hr	poly	2		Yes	3.17	370
hr	poly	4		Yes	3.17	370
hn			quality	Yes	3.44	351
hn				Yes	4.36	352
hn	cos	3		Yes	5.36	390
hn			beaufort, quality	Yes	5.41	351
hn	cos	2		Yes	5.97	333
hn	herm	4		Yes	6.17	351
hn			beaufort	Yes	6.35	352
hr			beaufort	No		
hn			size	No		
hr			size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hn			beaufort, size	No		
hr			beaufort, size	No		
hn			quality, size	No		
hr			quality, size	No		
hn			beaufort, quality, size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

---

Table 51: Candidate detection functions for SE\_secas95. The first one listed was selected for the density model.

## False killer whale and proxy species

Hazard rate key with quality covariate  
126 sightings, right truncated at 900 m



## Q-Q Plot




Figure 65: Detection function for SE\_secas95 that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 126  
Distance range : 0 - 900  
AIC : 1599.263

Detection function:

Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.72521560	0.13241064
quality	-0.06684612	0.03458459

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	1.116802	0.1798011

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.3924197	0.03385989	0.08628489
N in covered region	321.0848094	35.66094937	0.11106396

Additional diagnostic plots:




Figure 66: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.




Figure 67: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.







Figure 68: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

### Mid Atlantic Tursiops Survey 1995

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
<i>Delphinus capensis</i>	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
<i>Delphinus delphis</i>	Short-beaked common dolphin	0

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	0
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	0
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	3
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	116
Total		119

Table 52: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for Mid Atlantic Tursiops Survey 1995. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1296m. The vertical sighting angles were heaped at 10 degree increments, so the candidate detection functions were fitted using linear bins scaled accordingly.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 53: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr				Yes	0.00	416
hr			quality	Yes	1.20	425




hr	poly	2		Yes	2.00	416
hr	poly	4		Yes	2.00	416
hr			quality, size	Yes	3.04	426
hn	cos	2		Yes	3.19	334
hn				Yes	6.62	397
hn			quality	Yes	7.34	397
hn			size	Yes	7.67	397
hn	cos	3		Yes	8.38	376
hn	herm	4		Yes	8.59	397
hn			quality, size	Yes	8.74	397
hn			beaufort	No		
hr			beaufort	No		
hr			size	No		
hn			beaufort, quality	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hn			beaufort, size	No		
hr			beaufort, size	No		
hn			beaufort, quality, size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

---

Table 54: Candidate detection functions for Mid Atlantic Tursiops Survey 1995. The first one listed was selected for the density model.

### False killer whale and proxy species

Hazard rate key with no adjustments  
119 sightings, right truncated at 1296 m



### Q-Q Plot




Figure 69: Detection function for Mid Atlantic Tursiops Survey 1995 that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 119  
Distance range : 0 - 1296  
AIC : 481.8071

Detection function:

Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.788608	0.1178554

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	1.222676	0.1596548

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.3210204	0.02782412	0.08667398
N in covered region	370.6929540	42.61855213	0.11496995

Additional diagnostic plots:




Figure 70: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.






Figure 71: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 1296 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1296 m**




Figure 72: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**GulfCet Aerial Surveys**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	0

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	71
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	2
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	3
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	10
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	94
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	12
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	16
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	36
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	11
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	9
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	237
Total		501

Table 55: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for GulfCet Aerial Surveys. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1296m. The vertical sighting angles were heaped at 10 degree increments, so the candidate detection functions were fitted using linear bins scaled accordingly.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 56: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			size	Yes	0.00	402
hr				Yes	1.44	394


hr	poly	2		Yes	3.44	394
hr	poly	4		Yes	3.44	394
hn	cos	2		Yes	5.01	368
hn	cos	3		Yes	11.05	340
hn			size	Yes	32.36	442
hn				Yes	35.86	441
hn	herm	4		Yes	37.62	441
hn			beaufort	No		
hr			beaufort	No		
hn			quality	No		
hr			quality	No		
hn			beaufort, quality	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hn			beaufort, size	No		
hr			beaufort, size	No		
hn			quality, size	No		
hr			quality, size	No		
hn			beaufort, quality, size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

---

Table 57: Candidate detection functions for GulfCet Aerial Surveys. The first one listed was selected for the density model.

### False killer whale and proxy species

Hazard rate key with size covariate  
495 sightings, right truncated at 1296 m



### Q-Q Plot




Figure 73: Detection function for GulfCet Aerial Surveys that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 495  
Distance range : 0 - 1296  
AIC : 2044.046

Detection function:

Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.534852	0.09130673
size	0.140736	0.06293726

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	0.8639194	0.082705

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.3061297	0.01671606	0.05460451
N in covered region	1616.9615000	107.16078373	0.06627293

Additional diagnostic plots:




Figure 74: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.






Figure 75: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.




**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 1296 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1296 m**




Figure 76: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**GOMEX92-96 Aerial Survey**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	0

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	4
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	1
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	24
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	936
Total		965

Table 58: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for GOMEX92-96 Aerial Survey. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1296m. Due to a reduced frequency of sightings close to the trackline that plausibly resulted from the behavior of the observers and/or the configuration of the survey platform, the sightings were left truncated as well. Sightings closer than 83 m to the trackline were omitted from the analysis, and it was assumed that the area closer to the trackline than this was not surveyed. This distance was estimated by inspecting histograms of perpendicular sighting distances. The vertical sighting angles were heaped at 10 degree increments, so the candidate detection functions were fitted using linear bins scaled accordingly.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 59: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
-----	------------	-------	------------	-----------	--------------	---------------

hr			size	Yes	0.00	281
hr	poly	4		Yes	4.73	273
hn	cos	3		Yes	4.85	220
hr				Yes	4.90	278
hr	poly	2		Yes	5.13	269
hn	cos	2		Yes	12.07	259
hn			size	Yes	39.53	304
hn				Yes	41.94	304
hn	herm	4		Yes	43.71	304
hn			beaufort	No		
hr			beaufort	No		
hn			quality	No		
hr			quality	No		
hn			beaufort, quality	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hn			beaufort, size	No		
hr			beaufort, size	No		
hn			quality, size	No		
hr			quality, size	No		
hn			beaufort, quality, size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 60: Candidate detection functions for GOMEX92-96 Aerial Survey. The first one listed was selected for the density model.

**False killer whale and proxy species**  
**Hazard rate key with size covariate**  
**808 sightings, left trunc. 83 m, right trunc. 1296 m**





Figure 77: Detection function for GOMEX92-96 Aerial Survey that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 808  
 Distance range : 83.2036 - 1296  
 AIC : 2832.217

Detection function:

Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.49007390	0.06761203
size	0.09577309	0.04016336

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	0.9893445	0.05859387

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.2138621	0.01146898	0.05362795
N in covered region	3778.1360570	234.49525749	0.06206639

Additional diagnostic plots:




Figure 78: Density of sightings by perpendicular distance for GOMEX92-96 Aerial Survey. Black bars on the left show sightings that were left truncated.





Figure 79: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.

quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1296 m






Figure 80: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 1296 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1296 m**




Figure 81: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**UNCW Navy Surveys**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	13

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	56
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	1
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	1
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	1
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	3
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	3
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	341
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	1
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	9
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	567
Total		996

Table 61: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for UNCW Navy Surveys. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1500m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 62: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hn			size	Yes	0.00	754
hn			quality, size	Yes	0.22	754
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	1.76	754



hn			beaufort, quality, size	Yes	1.86	755
hn	cos	2		Yes	6.16	795
hn				Yes	6.29	753
hn			quality	Yes	7.23	753
hr	poly	2		Yes	7.54	825
hn	cos	3		Yes	8.04	736
hn			beaufort	Yes	8.24	753
hn			beaufort, quality	Yes	9.14	753
hr	poly	4		Yes	9.77	841
hr			size	Yes	10.22	901
hr			quality, size	Yes	10.94	900
hr			beaufort, size	Yes	12.22	901
hr			beaufort, quality, size	Yes	12.93	900
hr				Yes	16.65	887
hr			quality	Yes	17.70	886
hn	herm	4		No		
hr			beaufort	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		

Table 63: Candidate detection functions for UNCW Navy Surveys. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 82: Detection function for UNCW Navy Surveys that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 974  
Distance range : 0 - 1500  
AIC : 13779.06

Detection function:

Half-normal key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	6.3388868	0.04000233
size	0.1172576	0.05082555

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.4997021	0.01337788	0.02677171
N in covered region	1949.1611578	68.45627661	0.03512089

Additional diagnostic plots:





Figure 83: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.

quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1500 m






Figure 84: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 1500 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1500 m**




Figure 85: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**UNCW Right Whale Surveys**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	26

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	0
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	0
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	5
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	1855
Total		1886

Table 64: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for UNCW Right Whale Surveys. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 837m. Due to a reduced frequency of sightings close to the trackline that plausibly resulted from the behavior of the observers and/or the configuration of the survey platform, the sightings were left truncated as well. Sightings closer than 111 m to the trackline were omitted from the analysis, and it was assumed that the area closer to the trackline than this was not surveyed. This distance was estimated by inspecting histograms of perpendicular sighting distances. The vertical sighting angles were heaped at 10 degree increments, so the candidate detection functions were fitted using linear bins scaled accordingly.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 65: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
-----	------------	-------	------------	-----------	--------------	---------------

hr			beaufort	Yes	0.00	162
hr			beaufort, size	Yes	1.38	162
hr				Yes	2.22	161
hr	poly	2		Yes	4.22	161
hr	poly	4		Yes	4.22	161
hn	cos	2		Yes	62.20	87
hn				Yes	77.91	103
hn	cos	3		Yes	78.05	117
hn	herm	4		Yes	79.70	103
hn			beaufort	No		
hn			quality	No		
hr			quality	No		
hn			size	No		
hr			size	No		
hn			beaufort, quality	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hn			beaufort, size	No		
hn			quality, size	No		
hr			quality, size	No		
hn			beaufort, quality, size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 66: Candidate detection functions for UNCW Right Whale Surveys. The first one listed was selected for the density model.





Figure 86: Detection function for UNCW Right Whale Surveys that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 1545  
 Distance range : 110.9381 - 837  
 AIC : 3681.827

Detection function:

Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.54196336	0.04042409
beaufort	-0.04042406	0.02041452

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	1.707667	0.04319172

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.1927444	0.00547895	0.02842598
N in covered region	8015.7956844	292.42037285	0.03648052

Additional diagnostic plots:




Figure 87: Density of sightings by perpendicular distance for UNCW Right Whale Surveys. Black bars on the left show sightings that were left truncated.





Figure 88: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.



quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 837 m






Figure 89: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 837 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 837 m**




Figure 90: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

### UNCW Early Surveys

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	5

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	0
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	0
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	1
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	350
Total		356

Table 67: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for UNCW Early Surveys. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 332m. Due to a reduced frequency of sightings close to the trackline that plausibly resulted from the behavior of the observers and/or the configuration of the survey platform, the sightings were left truncated as well. Sightings closer than 13 m to the trackline were omitted from the analysis, and it was assumed that the area closer to the trackline than this was not surveyed. This distance was estimated by inspecting histograms of perpendicular sighting distances.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 68: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.


Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
-----	------------	-------	------------	-----------	--------------	---------------

hn			beaufort	Yes	0.00	158
hn				Yes	2.97	157
hn	herm	4		Yes	4.33	164
hn	cos	2		Yes	4.73	164
hn			quality	Yes	4.80	157
hr	poly	4		Yes	4.86	167
hn	cos	3		Yes	4.95	159
hr	poly	2		Yes	5.37	165
hr			beaufort	Yes	5.57	187
hr				Yes	8.04	173
hr			quality	Yes	9.35	173
hn			size	No		
hr			size	No		
hn			beaufort, quality	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hn			beaufort, size	No		
hr			beaufort, size	No		
hn			quality, size	No		
hr			quality, size	No		
hn			beaufort, quality, size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 69: Candidate detection functions for UNCW Early Surveys. The first one listed was selected for the density model.

### False killer whale and proxy species

Half-normal key with beaufort covariate  
356 sightings, left trunc. 13 m, right trunc. 332 m



### Q-Q Plot




Figure 91: Detection function for UNCW Early Surveys that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 356  
Distance range : 13.30786 - 332  
AIC : 1491.715

Detection function:

Half-normal key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.1726896	0.13721406
beaufort	-0.1299227	0.06484242

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.4700677	0.02238003	0.04761023
N in covered region	757.3377587	46.49751992	0.06139601

Additional diagnostic plots:

### Left truncated sightings (in black)




Figure 92: Density of sightings by perpendicular distance for UNCW Early Surveys. Black bars on the left show sightings that were left truncated.





Figure 93: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.

quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 332 m






Figure 94: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 332 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 332 m**




Figure 95: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

### Virginia Aquarium Surveys

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	16



Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	0
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	0
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	67
Total		83

Table 70: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for Virginia Aquarium Surveys. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1500m.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 71: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
hr			quality, size	Yes	0.00	413
hr			quality	Yes	2.75	381
hr			size	Yes	2.86	408

hr			Yes	5.08	379
hr	poly	4	Yes	7.07	377
hr	poly	2	Yes	7.08	379
hn	cos	2	Yes	8.57	438
hn		quality, size	Yes	10.48	567
hn	cos	3	Yes	11.42	404
hn		quality	Yes	11.94	549
hn		beaufort, quality, size	Yes	12.28	569
hn		beaufort, quality	Yes	13.90	549
hn		beaufort, size	Yes	17.69	567
hn		beaufort	Yes	18.02	563
hn			Yes	18.13	562
hn		size	Yes	18.73	562
hn	herm	4	No		
hr		beaufort	No		
hr		beaufort, quality	No		
hr		beaufort, size	No		
hr		beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 72: Candidate detection functions for Virginia Aquarium Surveys. The first one listed was selected for the density model.




Figure 96: Detection function for Virginia Aquarium Surveys that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 80  
Distance range : 0 - 1500  
AIC : 1076.058

Detection function:

Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.6518239	0.3734155
quality	-0.3758731	0.1494911
size	0.3255962	0.2331376

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	0.6332354	0.1825191

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.2217122	0.03813113	0.1719848
N in covered region	360.8280660	72.14728675	0.1999492

Additional diagnostic plots:





Figure 97: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.

quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1500 m






Figure 98: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 1500 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1500 m**




Figure 99: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

**NARWSS Grumman's**

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	42

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	0
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	288
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	3
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	0
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	1
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	6
Total		340

Table 73: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for NARWSS Grummans. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 800m. Due to a reduced frequency of sightings close to the trackline that plausibly resulted from the behavior of the observers and/or the configuration of the survey platform, the sightings were left truncated as well. Sightings closer than 107 m to the trackline were omitted from the analysis, and it was assumed that the area closer to the trackline than this was not surveyed. This distance was estimated by inspecting histograms of perpendicular sighting distances.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 74: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
-----	------------	-------	------------	-----------	--------------	---------------

hr			quality, size	Yes	0.00	235
hr			size	Yes	5.95	231
hr			beaufort, size	Yes	7.81	233
hr			quality	Yes	11.76	213
hn			size	Yes	14.26	231
hn			quality, size	Yes	14.51	233
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	16.23	231
hr				Yes	20.06	203
hr	poly	4		Yes	21.78	200
hr			beaufort	Yes	22.05	204
hr	poly	2		Yes	22.06	203
hn				Yes	33.54	223
hn			quality	Yes	33.86	223
hn	cos	2		No		
hn	cos	3		No		
hn	herm	4		No		
hn			beaufort	No		
hn			beaufort, quality	No		
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hn			beaufort, quality, size	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 75: Candidate detection functions for NARWSS Grummans. The first one listed was selected for the density model.





Figure 100: Detection function for NARWSS Grumman's that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 285  
 Distance range : 106.5979 - 800  
 AIC : 3450.827

Detection function:

Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	5.5620259	0.12398130
quality	-0.2408179	0.09290192
size	0.2953779	0.09400126

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	1.119906	0.1056045

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	0.2541682	0.03062592	0.1204947
N in covered region	1121.3045461	147.37019002	0.1314274

Additional diagnostic plots:






Figure 101: Density of sightings by perpendicular distance for NARWSS Grummans. Black bars on the left show sightings that were left truncated.




Figure 102: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.






Figure 103: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 800 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 800 m**




Figure 104: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

### NARWSS Twin Otters

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These “proxy species” are listed below.

Reported By Observer	Common Name	n
Delphinus capensis	Long-beaked common dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis	Short-beaked common dolphin	539

Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus	Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella	Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp.	0
Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba	Short-beaked common or striped dolphin	0
Grampus griseus	Risso's dolphin	86
Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus	Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Lagenodelphis hosei	Fraser's dolphin	0
Lagenorhynchus acutus	Atlantic white-sided dolphin	1732
Lagenorhynchus albirostris	White-beaked dolphin	4
Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus	White-beaked or white-sided dolphin	0
Pseudorca crassidens	False killer whale	0
Stenella	Unidentified Stenella	1
Stenella attenuata	Pantropical spotted dolphin	0
Stenella attenuata/frontalis	Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella clymene	Clymene dolphin	0
Stenella coeruleoalba	Striped dolphin	4
Stenella frontalis	Atlantic spotted dolphin	0
Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin	0
Stenella longirostris	Spinner dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis	Rough-toothed dolphin	0
Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin	0
Tursiops truncatus	Bottlenose dolphin	39
Total		2405

Table 76: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for NARWSS Twin Otters. The number of sightings,  $n$ , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 2500m. Due to a reduced frequency of sightings close to the trackline that plausibly resulted from the behavior of the observers and/or the configuration of the survey platform, the sightings were left truncated as well. Sightings closer than 160 m to the trackline were omitted from the analysis, and it was assumed that the area closer to the trackline than this was not surveyed. This distance was estimated by inspecting histograms of perpendicular sighting distances. The vertical sighting angles were heaped at 10 degree increments up to 80 degrees and 1 degree increments thereafter, so the candidate detection functions were fitted using linear bins scaled accordingly.

Covariate	Description
beaufort	Beaufort sea state.
quality	Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods).
size	Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group.

Table 77: Covariates tested in candidate “multi-covariate distance sampling” (MCDS) detection functions.

Key	Adjustment	Order	Covariates	Succeeded	$\Delta$ AIC	Mean ESHW (m)
-----	------------	-------	------------	-----------	--------------	---------------

hr			beaufort, size	Yes	0.00	470
hr			size	Yes	5.29	463
hr			quality, size	Yes	7.11	463
hr	poly	2		Yes	9.16	430
hr	poly	4		Yes	10.71	442
hr			beaufort	Yes	17.46	464
hr				Yes	22.55	458
hr			quality	Yes	24.49	458
hn	cos	2		Yes	33.82	434
hn	cos	3		Yes	54.89	361
hn			beaufort, size	Yes	162.73	517
hn			size	Yes	162.85	518
hn			quality, size	Yes	164.00	518
hn			beaufort, quality, size	Yes	164.45	517
hn			beaufort	Yes	185.34	516
hn				Yes	186.28	516
hn	herm	4		Yes	186.91	516
hn			beaufort, quality	Yes	187.34	516
hn			quality	Yes	188.03	516
hr			beaufort, quality	No		
hr			beaufort, quality, size	No		

Table 78: Candidate detection functions for NARWSS Twin Otters. The first one listed was selected for the density model.

**False killer whale and proxy species**  
 Hazard rate key with covariates beaufort, size  
 1987 sightings, left trunc. 160 m, right trunc. 2500 m





Figure 105: Detection function for NARWSS Twin Otters that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

Number of observations : 1987  
 Distance range : 160.0674 - 2500  
 AIC : 6745.856

Detection function:

Hazard-rate key function

Detection function parameters

Scale Coefficients:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	6.26395198	0.06468196
beaufort	-0.07274292	0.02643651
size	0.08974254	0.02445737

Shape parameters:

	estimate	se
(Intercept)	1.110483	0.0356417

	Estimate	SE	CV
Average p	1.845364e-01	5.774489e-03	0.03129187
N in covered region	1.076752e+04	4.016208e+02	0.03729928

Additional diagnostic plots:

### Left truncated sightings (in black)





Figure 106: Density of sightings by perpendicular distance for NARWSS Twin Otters. Black bars on the left show sightings that were left truncated.

beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.



beaufort vs. Distance, right trunc. at 2500 m





Figure 107: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.

quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 2500 m






Figure 108: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.




**Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.**



**Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.**



**Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 2500 m**



**Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 2500 m**




Figure 109: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## $g(0)$ Estimates

Platform	Surveys	Group Size	$g(0)$	Biases Addressed	Source
Shipboard	All	1-20	0.856	Perception	Barlow and Forney (2007)
		>20	0.970	Perception	Barlow and Forney (2007)
Aerial	All	1-5	0.43	Both	Palka (2006)
		>5	0.960	Both	Carretta et al. (2000)

Table 79: Estimates of  $g(0)$  used in this density model.

For shipboard surveys, we were unable to locate species-specific  $g(0)$  estimates in the literature. Instead, we utilized Barlow and Forney’s (2007) estimates for delphinids, produced from several years of dual-team surveys that used bigeye binoculars and similar protocols to the surveys in our study. These estimates provided separate estimates for small and large groups, but pooled sightings of several species together to provide a generic estimate for all delphinids, due to sample-size limitations.

Barlow and Forney’s (2007) estimate accounted for perception bias but not availability bias. For long diving cetaceans such as sperm whales, *Kogia* spp., and beaked whales, the authors used Barlow’s (1999) model of  $g(0)$  that incorporated dive behavior to address availability bias. Barlow parameterized that model such that the median duration of long dives ranged from 10.9-28.6 min, depending on the species, based on prior observational studies. Little dive data are available for false killer whales. Recently, Minamikawa et al. (2013) reported that a single false killer whale, possibly a juvenile, tracked for 70.4 h exhibited a mean daytime dive duration of 131.5 +/- 63.3 s. Daytime dives > 30 m, some of which exceeded 600 m depth, lasted on average 304.0 +/- 221.0 s. The authors reported that this was the first reported analysis of false killer whale time-serial depth data recorded by a pop-up archival transmitting (PAT) tag. While we cannot draw firm conclusions from this one report, it does not provide evidence that false killer whales are long divers.

Bradford et al. (2014) estimated false killer whale abundance near Hawaii using a methodology similar to ours. In their analysis, they used  $g(0)=0.77$ , drawing from Barlow’s (1995) estimates for delphinids off California. We preferred Barlow and Forney’s (2007) estimate instead, as it represents an update to the earlier analysis, built from more data and exhibiting a lower CV.

For aerial surveys, we were unable to locate species-specific  $g(0)$  estimates in the literature. For small groups, defined here as 1-5 individuals, we used Palka’s (2006) estimate of  $g(0)$  for groups of 1-5 small cetaceans, estimated from two years of aerial surveys using the Hiby (1999) circle-back method. This estimate accounted for both availability and perception bias, but pooled sightings of several species together to provide a generic estimate for all delphinids, due to sample-size limitations. For large groups, defined here as greater than 5 individuals, Palka (2006) assumed that  $g(0)$  was 1. When we discussed this with NOAA SWFSC reviewers, they agreed that it was safe to assume that the availability bias component of  $g(0)$  was 1 (for delphinids generally; we did not discuss false killer whales specifically) but insisted that perception bias should be slightly less than 1, as it was possible to miss large groups. We agreed to take a conservative approach and obtained our  $g(0)$  for large groups from Carretta et al. (2000), who estimated  $g(0)$  for both small and large groups of delphinids. We used Carretta et al.’s  $g(0)$  estimate for groups of 1-25 individuals (0.960), rather than their larger one for more than 25 individuals (0.994), to account for the fact that we were using Palka’s definition of large groups as those with more than 5 individuals.

## Density Model

False killer whales are found in all tropical and warm temperate oceans, and occasionally in cold temperate waters; they appear to be naturally uncommon throughout their range (Baird 2009). They are typically characterized as a pelagic species but are known to utilize shallow waters around oceanic islands (Baird 2009). In the eastern Atlantic they have been recorded as far north as Norway (Leatherwood et al. 1989).

The surveys in our database reported only two sightings in our east coast study area, both off the continental shelf. The Ocean Biogeographic Information System Spatial Ecological Analysis of Megavertebate Populations (OBIS-SEAMAP) database reported additional sightings (not utilized in our models) both off and on the continental shelf and north and south of Cape Hatteras (<http://seamap.env.duke.edu/species/180463>, accessed January 30, 2015). These included a sighting south of Isle Au

Haut, Maine reported by a NOAA shipboard abundance survey in August 1995 (this survey was not utilized in our models because NOAA did not include distances from the tracklines to the sightings in the data delivered to us). Another notable shipboard sighting was made in Cape Cod Bay in 1978 by a University of Rhode Island research team. Finally, eight sightings were reported near Montauk, NY between 1990-1993 by commercial whale watching vessels.

With only two sightings, we could not attempt to model abundance from environmental predictors. The wide distribution of sightings reported by OBIS-SEAMAP suggested that our entire east coast study area is potential false killer whale habitat, so we included the entire area in our stratified model.




Figure 110: False killer whale density model schematic. All sightings are shown, including those that were truncated when detection functions were fitted. The coefficient of variation (CV) underestimates the true uncertainty of our estimate, as it only incorporated the uncertainty of the GAM stage of our model. Other sources of uncertainty include the detection functions and  $g(0)$  estimates. It was not possible to incorporate these into our CV without undertaking a computationally-prohibitive bootstrap; we hope to attempt that in a future version of our model.

## Discussion

At the time of this writing, NOAA had never produced an abundance estimate for false killer whales in the north Atlantic, nor could we locate one in the literature, so we have no basis with which to compare our estimate.

## References

- Baird RW (2002) False killer whale *Pseudorca crassidens*. In: Encyclopedia Of Marine Mammals (Perrin WF, Wursig B, Thewissen JGM, eds.) Academic Press, San Diego, CA. pp. 411-412.
- Barlow J (1995) The abundance of cetaceans in California waters. Part I: Ship surveys in summer and fall of 1991. Fishery Bulletin 93: 1-14.
- Barlow J (1999) Trackline detection probability for long diving whales. In: Marine Mammal Survey and Assessment Methods (Garner GW, Amstrup SC, Laake JL, Manly BFJ, McDonald LL, Robertson DG, eds.). Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 209-221.
- Barlow J, Forney KA (2007) Abundance and density of cetaceans in the California Current ecosystem. Fish. Bull. 105: 509-526.
- Bradford AL, Forney KA, Oleson EM, Barlow J (2014) Accounting for Subgroup Structure in Line-Transect Abundance Estimates of False Killer Whales (*Pseudorca crassidens*) in Hawaiian Waters. PLoS ONE 9: e90464.
- Carretta JV, Lowry MS, Stinchcomb CE, Lynn MS, Cosgrove RE (2000) Distribution and abundance of marine mammals at San Clemente Island and surrounding offshore waters: results from aerial and ground surveys in 1998 and 1999. Administrative Report LJ-00-02, available from Southwest Fisheries Science Center, P.O. Box 271, La Jolla, CA USA 92038. 44 p.
- Hiby L (1999) The objective identification of duplicate sightings in aerial survey for porpoise. In: Marine Mammal Survey and Assessment Methods (Garner GW, Amstrup SC, Laake JL, Manly BFJ, McDonald LL, Robertson DG, eds.). Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 179-189.
- Leatherwood S, McDonald D, Baird RW, Scott MD (1989) The false killer whale, *Pseudorca crassidens* (OWEN, 1846): a summary of information available through 1988. Oceans Unlimited Technical Report 89-001. 114 p.
- Minamikawa S, Watanabe H, Iwasaki T (2013) Diving behavior of a false killer whale, *Pseudorca crassidens*, in the Kuroshio-Oyashio transition region and the Kuroshio front region of the western North Pacific. Marine Mammal Science 29: 177-185.
- Palka DL (2006) Summer Abundance Estimates of Cetaceans in US North Atlantic Navy Operating Areas. US Dept Commer, Northeast Fish Sci Cent Ref Doc. 06-03: 41 p.