# Density Model for Pantropical Spotted Dolphin (Stenella attenuata) for the U.S. East Coast: Supplementary Report 

Duke University Marine Geospatial Ecology Lab*

Model Version 2.3-2015-10-06

## Citation

When referencing our methodology or results generally, please cite our open-access article:
Roberts JJ, Best BD, Mannocci L, Fujioka E, Halpin PN, Palka DL, Garrison LP, Mullin KD, Cole TVN, Khan CB, McLellan WM, Pabst DA, Lockhart GG (2016) Habitat-based cetacean density models for the U.S. Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. Scientific Reports 6: 22615. doi: 10.1038/srep22615

To reference this specific model or Supplementary Report, please cite:
Roberts JJ, Best BD, Mannocci L, Fujioka E, Halpin PN, Palka DL, Garrison LP, Mullin KD, Cole TVN, Khan CB, McLellan WM, Pabst DA, Lockhart GG (2015) Density Model for Pantropical Spotted Dolphin (Stenella attenuata) for the U.S. East Coast Version 2.3, 2015-10-06, and Supplementary Report. Marine Geospatial Ecology Lab, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina.

## Copyright and License



This document and the accompanying results are © 2015 by the Duke University Marine Geospatial Ecology Laboratory and are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

## Revision History

| Version | Date | Description of changes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $2014-10-25$ | Initial version. |
| 2 | $2014-11-13$ | Reconfigured detection hierarchy and adjusted NARWSS detection functions based on <br> additional information from Tim Cole. Switched Slope and Abyss region to use uniform <br> distribution of abundance, rather than a GAM with a single predictor variable. Updated <br> documentation. |
| 2.1 | $2015-03-06$ | Updated the documentation. No changes to the model. |
| 2.2 | $2015-05-14$ | Updated calculation of CVs. Switched density rasters to logarithmic breaks. No changes <br> to the model. |
| 2.3 | $2015-10-06$ | Updated the documentation. No changes to the model. |

[^0]| Survey | Period | Length (1000 km) | Hours | Sightings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEFSC Aerial Surveys | 1995-2008 | 70 | 412 | 0 |
| NEFSC NARWSS Harbor Porpoise Survey | 1999-1999 | 6 | 36 | 0 |
| NEFSC North Atlantic Right Whale Sighting Survey | 1999-2013 | 432 | 2330 | 0 |
| NEFSC Shipboard Surveys | 1995-2004 | 16 | 1143 | 4 |
| NJDEP Aerial Surveys | 2008-2009 | 11 | 60 | 0 |
| NJDEP Shipboard Surveys | 2008-2009 | 14 | 836 | 0 |
| SEFSC Atlantic Shipboard Surveys | 1992-2005 | 28 | 1731 | 10 |
| SEFSC Mid Atlantic Tursiops Aerial Surveys | 1995-2005 | 35 | 196 | 2 |
| SEFSC Southeast Cetacean Aerial Surveys | 1992-1995 | 8 | 42 | 0 |
| UNCW Cape Hatteras Navy Surveys | 2011-2013 | 19 | 125 | 0 |
| UNCW Early Marine Mammal Surveys | 2002-2002 | 18 | 98 | 0 |
| UNCW Jacksonville Navy Surveys | 2009-2013 | 66 | 402 | 1 |
| UNCW Onslow Navy Surveys | 2007-2011 | 49 | 282 | 0 |
| UNCW Right Whale Surveys | 2005-2008 | 114 | 586 | 0 |
| Virginia Aquarium Aerial Surveys | 2012-2014 | 9 | 53 | 0 |
| Total |  | 895 | 8332 | 17 |

Table 2: Survey effort and sightings used in this model. Effort is tallied as the cumulative length of on-effort transects and hours the survey team was on effort. Sightings are the number of on-effort encounters of the modeled species for which a perpendicular sighting distance (PSD) was available. Off effort sightings and those without PSDs were omitted from the analysis.

| Season | Months | Length (1000 km) | Hours | Sightings |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All_Year | All | 897 | 8332 | 17 |

Table 3: Survey effort and on-effort sightings having perpendicular sighting distances.


Figure 1: Pantropical spotted dolphin sightings and survey tracklines.


Figure 2: Aerial linear survey effort per unit area.


Figure 3: Pantropical spotted dolphin sightings per unit aerial linear survey effort.


Figure 4: Shipboard linear survey effort per unit area.


Figure 5: Pantropical spotted dolphin sightings per unit shipboard linear survey effort.


Figure 6: Effective survey effort per unit area, for all surveys combined. Here, effort is corrected by the species- and survey-program-specific detection functions used in fitting the density models.


Figure 7: Pantropical spotted dolphin sightings per unit of effective survey effort, for all surveys combined. Here, effort is corrected by the species- and survey-program-specific detection functions used in fitting the density models.

## Detection Functions

The detection hierarchy figures below show how sightings from multiple surveys were pooled to try to achieve Buckland et. al's (2001) recommendation that at least $60-80$ sightings be used to fit a detection function. Leaf nodes, on the right, usually represent individual surveys, while the hierarchy to the left shows how they have been grouped according to how similar we believed the surveys were to each other in their detection performance.

At each node, the red or green number indicates the total number of sightings below that node in the hierarchy, and is colored green if 70 or more sightings were available, and red otherwise. If a grouping node has zero sightings-i.e. all of the surveys within it had zero sightings-it may be collapsed and shown as a leaf to save space.

Each histogram in the figure indicates a node where a detection function was fitted. The actual detection functions do not appear in this figure; they are presented in subsequent sections. The histogram shows the frequency of sightings by perpendicular sighting distance for all surveys contained by that node. Each survey (leaf node) recieves the detection function that is closest to it up the hierarchy. Thus, for common species, sufficient sightings may be available to fit detection functions deep in the hierarchy, with each function applying to only a few surveys, thereby allowing variability in detection performance between surveys to be addressed relatively finely. For rare species, so few sightings may be available that we have to pool many surveys together to try to meet Buckland's recommendation, and fit only a few coarse detection functions high in the hierarchy.

A blue Proxy Species tag indicates that so few sightings were available that, rather than ascend higher in the hierarchy to a point that we would pool grossly-incompatible surveys together, (e.g. shipboard surveys that used big-eye binoculars with those that used only naked eyes) we pooled sightings of similar species together instead. The list of species pooled is given in following sections.

## Shipboard Surveys



Figure 8: Detection hierarchy for shipboard surveys

## Low Platforms

The sightings were right truncated at 5000 m .

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 4: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta$ AIC | Mean ESHW (m) |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 0.00 | 1817 |
| hr |  |  | size | Yes | 0.58 | 1713 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 53.23 | 728 |
| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 53.57 | 742 |
| hn | cos | 2 |  | Yes | 78.00 | 1593 |
| hn |  |  | size | Yes | 83.97 | 2182 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 84.86 | 2174 |
| hn | cos | 3 |  | Yes | 87.93 | 1485 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yea | 123.93 | 2109 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 124.03 | 2108 |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 125.00 | 2104 |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | No |  |  |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | No |  |  |

Table 5: Candidate detection functions for Low Platforms. The first one listed was selected for the density model.


Figure 9: Detection function for Low Platforms that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

```
Number of observations : 344
Distance range : 0 - 5000
AIC : 5477.79
Detection function:
    Hazard-rate key function
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
                    estimate se
(Intercept) 5.1390917 0.32755835
beaufort -0.1542072 0.07613888
size 2.3802017 0.34732806
Shape parameters:
                estimate se
(Intercept) 0.3576001 0.08845056
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
& Estimate & SE & CV \\
Average p & 0.1686068 & 0.02024788 & 0.1200893 \\
\(N\) in covered region & 2040.2498708 & 269.16384121 & 0.1319269
\end{tabular}
```

Additional diagnostic plots:
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.

beaufort vs. Distance, right trunc. at 5000 m


Figure 10: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.


Figure 11: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## High Platforms

The sightings were right truncated at 6000 m .

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 6: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta \mathrm{AIC}$ | Mean ESHW (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 0.00 | 1404 |
| hr |  |  | size | Yes | 21.82 | 924 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 24.65 | 1013 |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 42.14 | 827 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 42.29 | 2609 |
| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 46.56 | 726 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 69.58 | 2498 |
| hn | cos | 2 |  | Yes | 74.34 | 1977 |
| hn | cos | 3 |  | Yes | 80.32 | 1865 |
| hn |  |  | size | Yes | 88.70 | 2521 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 99.38 | 2502 |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 100.85 | 2496 |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | No |  |  |

Table 7: Candidate detection functions for High Platforms. The first one listed was selected for the density model.


Figure 12: Detection function for High Platforms that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

Summary for ds object

| Number of observations : 295 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distance range |  | : 0-6000 |
| AIC |  | 4806.309 |
| Detection function: |  |  |
| Hazard-rate key function |  |  |
| Detection function parameters |  |  |
| Scale Coefficients: |  |  |
|  | estimate | se |
| (Intercept) | 6.3719618 | 0.4029306 |
| beaufort | -0.6896037 | 0.1256594 |
| size | 0.9673811 | 0.1774448 |
| Shape parameters: |  |  |
|  | estimate | se |
| (Intercept) | 0.1127456 | 0.08968933 |


|  | Estimate | SE | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average p | 0.1010828 | 0.01972899 | 0.1951765 |
| N in covered region | 2918.3989143 | 595.17837580 | 0.2039400 |

Additional diagnostic plots:
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.



Figure 13: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.


Figure 14: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## Naked Eye Surveys

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These "proxy species" are listed below.

| Reported By Observer | Common Name | n |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus capensis | Long-beaked common dolphin | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis | Short-beaked common dolphin | 255 |


| Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus | Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella | Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp. | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba | Short-beaked common or striped dolphin | 72 |
| Grampus griseus | Risso's dolphin | 9 |
| Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus | Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenodelphis hosei | Fraser's dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus acutus | Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 102 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris | White-beaked dolphin | 36 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus | White-beaked or white-sided dolphin | 4 |
| Stenella | Unidentified Stenella | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata | Pantropical spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata/frontalis | Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella clymene | Clymene dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella coeruleoalba | Striped dolphin | 48 |
| Stenella frontalis | Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus | Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella longirostris | Spinner dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis | Rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose dolphin |  |
| Total |  | 067 |

Table 8: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for Naked Eye Surveys. The number of sightings, n, is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1000 m .

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 9: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta$ AIC | Mean ESHW (m) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 0.00 | 329 |
| hr |  | beaufort | Yes | 5.52 | 306 |  |
| hr |  | size | Yes | 7.76 | 330 |  |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | Yes | 8.35 | 253 |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 11.34 | 266 |
| hn | cos | 2 |  | Yes | 14.63 | 339 |


| hr |  |  | Yes | 14.95 | 308 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hn | cos | 3 |  | Yes | 29.74 |
| hn |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 33.37 | 330 |
| hn |  | size | Yes | 39.64 | 434 |
| hn |  | beaufort | Yes | 47.43 | 433 |
| hn |  |  | Yes | 53.26 | 427 |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 54.28 |

Table 10: Candidate detection functions for Naked Eye Surveys. The first one listed was selected for the density model.

Pantropical spotted dolphin and proxy species


Figure 15: Detection function for Naked Eye Surveys that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 529
Distance range : 0 - }100
AIC : 6866.942
Detection function:
    Hazard-rate key function
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
& estimate & se \\
(Intercept) & 5.4796299 & 0.21489966 \\
beaufort & -0.2095913 & 0.06594519
\end{tabular}
```

Shape parameters:

|  | estimate | se |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Intercept) 0.4966405 | 0.08804302 |  |

Estimate SE CV

Average p 0.2987683 0.02050381 0.06862779
$N$ in covered region 1770.6030180138 .211909730 .07805923

Additional diagnostic plots:
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.

beaufort vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1000 m


Figure 16: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.


Figure 17: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## CODA and SCANS II

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These "proxy species" are listed below.

| Reported By Observer | Common Name | n |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus capensis | Long-beaked common dolphin | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis | Short-beaked common dolphin | 227 |


| Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus | Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella | Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp. | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba | Short-beaked common or striped dolphin | 57 |
| Grampus griseus | Risso's dolphin | 9 |
| Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus | Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenodelphis hosei | Fraser's dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus acutus | Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris | White-beaked dolphin | 56 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus | White-beaked or white-sided dolphin | 32 |
| Stenella | Unidentified Stenella | 4 |
| Stenella attenuata | Pantropical spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata/frontalis | Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella clymene | Clymene dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella coeruleoalba | Striped dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis | Atlantic spotted dolphin | 36 |
| Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus | Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella longirostris | Spinner dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis | Rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose dolphin | 41 |
| Total |  | 462 |

Table 11: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for CODA and SCANS II. The number of sightings, n, is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1000 m .

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| quality | Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant <br> factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods). |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 12: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta$ AIC | Mean ESHW (m) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| hr |  |  | quality, size | Yes | 0.00 | 326 |
| hr |  | quality | Yes | 0.85 | 325 |  |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | Yes | 2.85 | 257 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 3.50 | 319 |


| hr |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 4.73 | 315 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 5.08 | 288 |
| hn | $\cos$ | 2 |  | Yes | 5.71 | 335 |
| hr |  |  | size | Yes | 6.16 | 322 |
| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 7.78 | 319 |
| hn | cos | 3 |  | Yes | 15.49 | 324 |
| hn |  |  | quality, size | Yes | 21.34 | 416 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 22.76 | 417 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | Yes | 23.17 | 416 |
| hn |  |  | quality | Yes | 25.50 | 413 |
| hn |  |  | size | Yes | 26.46 | 418 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality | Yes | 27.47 | 413 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 28.47 | 414 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 32.88 | 414 |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 34.17 | 413 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |

Table 13: Candidate detection functions for CODA and SCANS II. The first one listed was selected for the density model.


Figure 18: Detection function for CODA and SCANS II that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

| Summary for ds object |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of observations $:$ | 438 |  |
| Distance range | $:$ | $0 \quad-\quad 1000$ |
| AIC | $:$ | 5674.066 |

Detection function:
Hazard-rate key function
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:

|  | estimate | se |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| (Intercept) | 5.4624136 | 0.17286880 |
| quality | -0.1426257 | 0.05036964 |
| size | 0.2194236 | 0.11538504 |

Shape parameters:
estimate se
(Intercept) 0.57410260 .09733169

|  | Estimate | SE | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average p | 0.3097732 | 0.02170451 | 0.07006582 |
| $N$ in covered region | 1413.9378602 | 114.19755693 | 0.08076561 |

Additional diagnostic plots:
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.

beaufort vs. Distance, right trunc. at $1000 \mathbf{m}$


Figure 19: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.
quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.

quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1000 m


Figure 20: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


Figure 21: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## Aerial Surveys



Figure 22: Detection hierarchy for aerial surveys

## NEFSC Surveys With Belly Observers

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These "proxy species" are listed below.

Delphinus delphis
Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus

Short-beaked common dolphin
Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin 0

| Delphinus delphis/Stenella | Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp. | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba | Short-beaked common or striped dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus | Risso's dolphin | 148 |
| Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus | Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenodelphis hosei | Fraser's dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus acutus | Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 220 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris | White-beaked dolphin | 5 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus | White-beaked or white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella | Unidentified Stenella | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata | Pantropical spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata/frontalis | Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella clymene | Clymene dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella coeruleoalba | Striped dolphin | 2 |
| Stenella frontalis | Atlantic spotted dolphin | 2 |
| Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus | Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella longirostris | Spinner dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis | Rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose dolphin | 09 |
| Total |  | 787 |

Table 14: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for NEFSC Surveys With Belly Observers. The number of sightings, $n$, is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1000 m .

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 15: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta$ AIC | Mean ESHW (m) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hr |  |  | size | Yes | 0.00 | 380 |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 18.20 | 354 |
| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 20.16 | 359 |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | Yes | 20.32 | 350 |
| hn | cos | 2 |  | Yes | 20.44 | 311 |
| hn |  |  | size | Yes | 25.50 | 370 |
| hn | cos | 3 |  | Yes | 37.76 | 322 |
|  |  |  |  | 27 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| hn |  |  | Yes | 43.60 | 364 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 45.08 |
| hr |  | beaufort | No |  | 363 |
| hn |  | beaufort | No |  |  |
| hr |  | beaufort, size | No |  |  |
| hn |  | beaufort, size | No |  |  |

Table 16: Candidate detection functions for NEFSC Surveys With Belly Observers. The first one listed was selected for the density model.

## Pantropical spotted dolphin and proxy species



Figure 23: Detection function for NEFSC Surveys With Belly Observers that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 750
Distance range : 0 - 1000
AIC : 9547.646
Detection function:
    Hazard-rate key function
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
& estimate & se \\
(Intercept) & 5.4723464 & 0.05875051 \\
size & 0.4895925 & 0.09092657
\end{tabular}
```

Shape parameters:

|  | estimate | se |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (Intercept) | 1.119282 | 0.06987517 |  | SE |
|  |  | Estimate | CV |  |
|  |  | 0.3611704 | 0.01276499 | 0.03534340 |
| Average p |  |  |  |  |

Additional diagnostic plots:
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Figure 24: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.


Figure 25: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## Mid Atlantic Tursiops Survey 2002-2004

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These "proxy species" are listed below.

| Reported By Observer | Common Name | n |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Delphinus capensis | Long-beaked common dolphin | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis | Short-beaked common dolphin | 3 |


| Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus | Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella | Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp. | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba | Short-beaked common or striped dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus | Risso's dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus | Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenodelphis hosei | Fraser's dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus acutus | Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris | White-beaked dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus | White-beaked or white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella | Unidentified Stenella | 4 |
| Stenella attenuata | Pantropical spotted dolphin | 2 |
| Stenella attenuata/frontalis | Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella clymene | Clymene dolphin | 1 |
| Stenella coeruleoalba | Striped dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis | Atlantic spotted dolphin | 107 |
| Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus | Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella longirostris | Spinner dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis | Rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose dolphin | 599 |
| Total |  | 016 |

Table 17: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for Mid Atlantic Tursiops Survey 2002-2004. The number of sightings, $n$, is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1296 m . The vertical sighting angles were heaped at 10 degree increments, so the candidate detection functions were fitted using linear bins scaled accordingly.

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| quality | Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant <br> factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods). |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 18: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta$ AIC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Mean ESHW (m) |  |  |  |  |  |
| hr | beaufort, size | Yes | 0.00 | 324 |  |
| hr | beaufort | Yes | 7.23 | 320 |  |
| hr | size | Yes | 15.09 | 325 |  |


| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 19.48 | 320 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 21.50 | 320 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 24.61 | 291 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | Yes | 26.60 | 291 |
| hn | $\cos$ | 2 |  | Yes | 30.32 | 279 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 31.08 | 289 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality | Yes | 33.08 | 289 |
| hn |  |  | size | Yes | 40.66 | 292 |
| hn | cos | 3 |  | Yes | 41.28 | 267 |
| hn |  |  | quality, size | Yes | 42.57 | 292 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 44.74 | 289 |
| hn |  |  | quality | Yes | 46.65 | 289 |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 46.67 | 289 |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | quality, size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |

Table 19: Candidate detection functions for Mid Atlantic Tursiops Survey 2002-2004. The first one listed was selected for the density model.


Figure 26: Detection function for Mid Atlantic Tursiops Survey 2002-2004 that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 715
Distance range : 0 - 1296
AIC : 2772.63
Detection function:
    Hazard-rate key function
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
            estimate se
(Intercept) 5.7365141 0.06710784
beaufort -0.1711703 0.03981217
size 0.3005678 0.11350774
```

Shape parameters:
estimate se
(Intercept) 1.4100970 .06849596

|  | Estimate | SE | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average p | 0.2428843 | $7.461501 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 0.03072039 |
| N in covered region | 2943.7878981 | $1.320799 \mathrm{e}+02$ | 0.04486732 |

Additional diagnostic plots:
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.

beaufort vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1296 m


Figure 27: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.
quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.

quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1296 m


Figure 28: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


Figure 29: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## GulfSCAT Aerial Survey

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These "proxy species" are listed below.

| Reported By Observer | Common Name | n |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Delphinus capensis | Long-beaked common dolphin | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis | Short-beaked common dolphin | 0 |


| Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus | Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella | Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp. | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba | Short-beaked common or striped dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus | Risso's dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus | Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenodelphis hosei | Fraser's dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus acutus | Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris | White-beaked dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus | White-beaked or white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella | Unidentified Stenella | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata | Pantropical spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata/frontalis | Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella clymene | Clymene dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella coeruleoalba | Striped dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis | Atlantic spotted dolphin | 15 |
| Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus | Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella longirostris | Spinner dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis | Rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose dolphin | 381 |
| Total |  | 396 |

Table 20: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for GulfSCAT Aerial Survey. The number of sightings, n , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 400 m .

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| quality | Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant <br> factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods). |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 21: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta$ AIC | Mean ESHW (m) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 0.00 | 218 |
| hn | cos | 2 |  | Yes | 0.09 | 221 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 0.90 | 199 |
| hn |  |  | size | Yes | 2.21 | 199 |


| hn | $\cos$ | 3 |  | Yes | 2.37 | 209 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | Yes | 2.39 | 218 |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 2.47 | 223 |
| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 4.46 | 230 |
| hr |  |  | size | Yes | 5.04 | 232 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | quality | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | quality, size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | quality, size | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |

Table 22: Candidate detection functions for GulfSCAT Aerial Survey. The first one listed was selected for the density model.


Figure 30: Detection function for GulfSCAT Aerial Survey that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 392
Distance range : 0 - 400
AIC : 4505.917
Detection function:
    Half-normal key function with Hermite polynomial adjustment term of order 4
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
    estimate se
(Intercept) 4.855658 0.0741652
Adjustment term parameter(s):
            estimate se
herm, order 4-0.04125642 0.01270664
Monotonicity constraints were enforced.
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
& Estimate & SE & CV \\
Average p & 0.5457537 & 0.04201324 & 0.07698205
\end{tabular}
N in covered region 718.2727866 60.45889329 0.08417261
Monotonicity constraints were enforced.
```

Additional diagnostic plots:
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Figure 31: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.
quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.

quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 400 m


Figure 32: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


Figure 33: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## Without Belly Observers - 600 ft

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These "proxy species" are listed below.

| Reported By Observer | Common Name | n |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Delphinus capensis | Long-beaked common dolphin | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis | Short-beaked common dolphin | 5 |


| Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus | Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella | Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp. | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba | Short-beaked common or striped dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus | Risso's dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus | Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin | 3 |
| Lagenodelphis hosei | Fraser's dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus acutus | Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 4 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris | White-beaked dolphin | 31 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus | White-beaked or white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella | Unidentified Stenella | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata | Pantropical spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata/frontalis | Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin | 4 |
| Stenella clymene | Clymene dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella coeruleoalba | Striped dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis | Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus | Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella longirostris | Spinner dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis | Rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Total |  | 117 |

Table 23: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for Without Belly Observers - 600 ft . The number of sightings, $n$, is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 600 m .

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 24: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta$ AIC | Mean ESHW (m) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 0.00 | 273 |
| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 0.47 | 313 |
| hn | cos | 3 |  | Yes | 0.63 | 294 |
| hn | cos | 2 |  | Yes | 1.46 | 297 |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 1.66 | 292 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 1.82 | 273 |


| hn |  | size | Yes | 1.98 | 273 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 2.01 | 305 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 2.15 | 308 |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | Yes | 2.38 | 298 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 3.80 | 273 |
| hr |  |  | size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | No |  |  |

Table 25: Candidate detection functions for Without Belly Observers - 600 ft . The first one listed was selected for the density model.

Atlantic spotted dolphin and proxy species


Figure 34: Detection function for Without Belly Observers - 600 ft that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 116
Distance range : 0 - 600
AIC : 1413.111
Detection function:
    Half-normal key function
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
\begin{tabular}{lrr} 
& estimate & se \\
(Intercept) & 5.388383 & 0.07654643
\end{tabular}
```

|  | Estimate | SE | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average p | 0.4543498 | 0.03299346 | 0.07261686 |
| $N$ in covered region | 255.3098755 | 25.50172372 | 0.09988538 |

Additional diagnostic plots:
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.

beaufort vs. Distance, right trunc. at $\mathbf{6 0 0} \mathbf{m}$


Figure 35: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.

Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.


Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at $\mathbf{6 0 0} \mathbf{m}$


Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at $\mathbf{6 0 0} \mathbf{m}$


Figure 36: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## Without Belly Observers - 750 ft

The sightings were right truncated at 900 m .

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| quality | Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant <br> factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods). |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 26: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta$ AIC | Mean ESHW (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 0.00 | 385 |
| hr |  |  | size | Yes | 0.66 | 398 |
| hn | cos | 2 |  | Yes | 1.33 | 340 |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | Yes | 2.00 | 385 |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 2.62 | 358 |
| hn |  |  | size | Yes | 10.29 | 486 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 11.10 | 501 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 11.72 | 481 |
| hn |  |  | quality, size | Yes | 12.19 | 487 |
| hn | $\cos$ | 3 |  | Yes | 12.60 | 506 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | Yes | 12.84 | 502 |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 13.03 | 479 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 13.51 | 481 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | quality | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | quality, size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |

Table 27: Candidate detection functions for Without Belly Observers - 750 ft . The first one listed was selected for the density model.


Figure 37: Detection function for Without Belly Observers - 750 ft that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 90
Distance range : 0 - 900
AIC : 1179.815
Detection function:
    Hazard-rate key function
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
    estimate se
(Intercept) 5.520789 0.2463792
```

Shape parameters:
estimate se
(Intercept) 0.6051470 .2145303

|  | Estimate | SE | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average p | 0.4281779 | 0.06414965 | 0.1498201 |
| $N$ in covered region | 210.1929997 | 35.67070764 | 0.1697045 |

Additional diagnostic plots:


Figure 38: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.
quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Figure 39: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.

Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.


Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 900 m


Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 900 m


Figure 40: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## UNCW Navy Surveys

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These "proxy species" are listed below.

| Reported By Observer | Common Name | n |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus capensis | Long-beaked common dolphin | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis | Short-beaked common dolphin | 13 |


| Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus | Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella | Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp. | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba | Short-beaked common or striped dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus | Risso's dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus | Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin | 56 |
| Lagenodelphis hosei | Fraser's dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus acutus | Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 1 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris | White-beaked dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus | White-beaked or white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella | Unidentified Stenella | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata | Pantropical spotted dolphin | 1 |
| Stenella attenuata/frontalis | Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin | 1 |
| Stenella clymene | Clymene dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella coeruleoalba | Striped dolphin | 3 |
| Stenella frontalis | Atlantic spotted dolphin | 3 |
| Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus | Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin | 341 |
| Stenella longirostris | Spinner dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis | Rough-toothed dolphin | 1 |
| Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin | 9 |
| Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Total |  | 567 |

Table 28: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for UNCW Navy Surveys. The number of sightings, n, is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1500 m .

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| quality | Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant <br> factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods). |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 29: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta$ AIC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Mean ESHW (m) |  |  |  |  |  |
| hn | size | Yes | 0.00 | 754 |  |
| hn | quality, size | Yes | 0.22 | 754 |  |
| hn |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 1.76 | 754 |
| hn |  | beaufort, quality, size | Yes | 1.86 | 755 |


| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 5.14 | 794 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hn | $\cos$ | 2 |  | Yes | 6.16 | 795 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 6.29 | 753 |
| hn |  |  | quality | Yes | 7.21 | 753 |
| hn | cos | 3 |  | Yes | 8.04 | 736 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 8.22 | 753 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality | Yes | 9.12 | 753 |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 9.77 | 841 |
| hr |  |  | size | Yes | 10.21 | 901 |
| hr |  |  | quality, size | Yes | 10.93 | 900 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 12.21 | 901 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | Yes | 12.93 | 900 |
| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 16.65 | 887 |
| hr |  |  | quality | Yes | 17.68 | 886 |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | Yes | 18.65 | 887 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |

Table 30: Candidate detection functions for UNCW Navy Surveys. The first one listed was selected for the density model.


Figure 41: Detection function for UNCW Navy Surveys that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 974
Distance range : 0 - 1500
AIC : 13779.06
```

Detection function:
Half-normal key function
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
estimate se
(Intercept) 6.33906210 .04000783
size $\quad 0.1171238 \quad 0.05081044$

|  | Estimate | SE | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average p | 0.4997492 | 0.0133791 | 0.02677164 |
| N in covered region | 1948.9777925 | 68.4482329 | 0.03512007 |

Additional diagnostic plots:
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Figure 42: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.
quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.

quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1500 m


Figure 43: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.

Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.


Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 1500 m


Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1500 m


Figure 44: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## UNCW Right Whale Surveys

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These "proxy species" are listed below.

| Reported By Observer | Common Name | n |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus capensis | Long-beaked common dolphin | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis | Short-beaked common dolphin | 26 |


| Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus | Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella | Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp. | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba | Short-beaked common or striped dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus | Risso's dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus | Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenodelphis hosei | Fraser's dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus acutus | Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris | White-beaked dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus | White-beaked or white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella | Unidentified Stenella | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata | Pantropical spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata/frontalis | Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella clymene | Clymene dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella coeruleoalba | Striped dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis | Atlantic spotted dolphin | 5 |
| Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus | Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella longirostris | Spinner dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis | Rough-toothed dolphin |  |
| Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Total |  | 1855 |

Table 31: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for UNCW Right Whale Surveys. The number of sightings, $n$, is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 837 m . Due to a reduced frequency of sightings close to the trackline that plausibly resulted from the behavior of the observers and/or the configuration of the survey platform, the sightings were left truncted as well. Sightings closer than 111 m to the trackline were omitted from the analysis, and it was assumed that the the area closer to the trackline than this was not surveyed. This distance was estimated by inspecting histograms of perpendicular sighting distances. The vertical sighting angles were heaped at 10 degree increments, so the candidate detection functions were fitted using linear bins scaled accordingly.

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| quality | Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant <br> factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods). <br> size |

Table 32: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.
Key Adjustment Order Covariates $\quad$ Succeeded $\Delta$ AIC Mean ESHW (m)

| hr |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 0.00 | 162 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 1.38 | 162 |
| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 2.22 | 161 |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | Yes | 4.22 | 161 |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 4.22 | 161 |
| hn | cos | 2 |  | Yes | 62.20 | 87 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 77.91 | 103 |
| hn | $\cos$ | 3 |  | Yes | 78.05 | 117 |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 79.70 | 103 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | quality | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | size | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, size | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | quality, size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | quality, size | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |

Table 33: Candidate detection functions for UNCW Right Whale Surveys. The first one listed was selected for the density model.


Figure 45: Detection function for UNCW Right Whale Surveys that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : 1545
Distance range : 110.9381 - 837
AIC : 3681.827
Detection function:
    Hazard-rate key function
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
            estimate se
(Intercept) 5.54196336 0.04042409
beaufort -0.04042406 0.02041452
Shape parameters:
        estimate se
(Intercept) 1.707667 0.04319172
```

|  | Estimate | SE | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average p | 0.1927444 | 0.00547895 | 0.02842598 |
| N in covered region | 8015.7956844 | 292.42037285 | 0.03648052 |

Additional diagnostic plots:


Figure 46: Density of sightings by perpendicular distance for UNCW Right Whale Surveys. Black bars on the left show sightings that were left truncated.
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Figure 47: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.
quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.

quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 837 m


Figure 48: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


Figure 49: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## UNCW Early Surveys

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These "proxy species" are listed below.

| Reported By Observer | Common Name | n |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Delphinus capensis | Long-beaked common dolphin | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis | Short-beaked common dolphin | 5 |


| Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus | Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella | Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp. | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba | Short-beaked common or striped dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus | Risso's dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus | Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenodelphis hosei | Fraser's dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus acutus | Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris | White-beaked dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus | White-beaked or white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella | Unidentified Stenella | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata | Pantropical spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata/frontalis | Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella clymene | Clymene dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella coeruleoalba | Striped dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis | Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus | Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin | 1 |
| Stenella longirostris | Spinner dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis | Rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Total |  | 350 |

Table 34: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for UNCW Early Surveys. The number of sightings, n, is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 332 m . Due to a reduced frequency of sightings close to the trackline that plausibly resulted from the behavior of the observers and/or the configuration of the survey platform, the sightings were left truncted as well. Sightings closer than 13 m to the trackline were omitted from the analysis, and it was assumed that the the area closer to the trackline than this was not surveyed. This distance was estimated by inspecting histograms of perpendicular sighting distances.

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| quality | Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant <br> factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods). |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 35: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta$ AIC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| hn |  | beaufort | Mean ESHW (m) |  |  |


| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 2.97 | 157 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 4.54 | 163 |
| hn | cos | 2 |  | Yes | 4.73 | 164 |
| hn |  |  | quality | Yes | 4.80 | 157 |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 4.86 | 167 |
| hn | cos | 3 |  | Yes | 4.95 | 159 |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | Yes | 5.37 | 165 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 5.55 | 187 |
| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 8.05 | 173 |
| hr |  |  | quality | Yes | 9.36 | 173 |
| hr |  |  | size | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | quality, size | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | quality, size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |

Table 36: Candidate detection functions for UNCW Early Surveys. The first one listed was selected for the density model.


Figure 50: Detection function for UNCW Early Surveys that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:


Additional diagnostic plots:

## Left trucated sightings (in black)



Figure 51: Density of sightings by perpendicular distance for UNCW Early Surveys. Black bars on the left show sightings that were left truncated.
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Figure 52: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.
quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



Figure 53: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


Figure 54: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## Virginia Aquarium Surveys

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These "proxy species" are listed below.

| Reported By Observer | Common Name | n |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus capensis | Long-beaked common dolphin | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis | Short-beaked common dolphin | 16 |


| Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus | Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella | Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp. | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba | Short-beaked common or striped dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus | Risso's dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus | Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenodelphis hosei | Fraser's dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus acutus | Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris | White-beaked dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus | White-beaked or white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella | Unidentified Stenella | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata | Pantropical spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata/frontalis | Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella clymene | Clymene dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella coeruleoalba | Striped dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis | Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus | Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella longirostris | Spinner dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis | Rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Total |  | 87 |

Table 37: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for Virginia Aquarium Surveys. The number of sightings, $n$, is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 1500 m .

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| quality | Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant <br> factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods). |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 38: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta$ AIC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Mr Mean ESHW (m) |  |  |  |  |  |
| hr | quality, size | Yes | 0.00 | 413 |  |
| hr | quality | Yes | 2.75 | 381 |  |
| hr | size | Yes | 2.86 | 408 |  |
| hr |  | Yes | 5.08 | 379 |  |


| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 7.07 | 377 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | Yes | 7.08 | 379 |
| hn | $\cos$ | 2 |  | Yes | 8.57 | 438 |
| hn |  |  | quality, size | Yes | 10.48 | 567 |
| hn | $\cos$ | 3 |  | Yes | 11.42 | 404 |
| hn |  |  | quality | Yes | 11.94 | 549 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | Yes | 12.28 | 569 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality | Yes | 13.90 | 549 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 17.68 | 567 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 18.02 | 563 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 18.13 | 562 |
| hn |  |  | size | Yes | 18.73 | 562 |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 19.94 | 561 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |

Table 39: Candidate detection functions for Virginia Aquarium Surveys. The first one listed was selected for the density model.


Figure 55: Detection function for Virginia Aquarium Surveys that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:


Shape parameters:
estimate se
(Intercept) 0.63321650 .1826108

|  | Estimate | SE | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average p | 0.2217086 | 0.0381433 | 0.1720425 |
| $N$ in covered region | 360.8339921 | 72.1664321 | 0.1999990 |

Additional diagnostic plots:

## beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.


beaufort vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1500 m


Figure 56: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.
quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.



Figure 57: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.

Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.
Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at 1500 m
Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 1500 m



Figure 58: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## NARWSS Grummans

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These "proxy species" are listed below.

| Reported By Observer | Common Name | n |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus capensis | Long-beaked common dolphin | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis | Short-beaked common dolphin | 42 |


| Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus | Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella | Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp. | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba | Short-beaked common or striped dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus | Risso's dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus | Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenodelphis hosei | Fraser's dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus acutus | Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 288 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris | White-beaked dolphin | 3 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus | White-beaked or white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella | Unidentified Stenella | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata | Pantropical spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata/frontalis | Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella clymene | Clymene dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella coeruleoalba | Striped dolphin | 1 |
| Stenella frontalis | Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus | Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella longirostris | Spinner dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis | Rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin |  |
| Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Total |  | 0 |

Table 40: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for NARWSS Grummans. The number of sightings, n, is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 800 m . Due to a reduced frequency of sightings close to the trackline that plausibly resulted from the behavior of the observers and/or the configuration of the survey platform, the sightings were left truncted as well. Sightings closer than 107 m to the trackline were omitted from the analysis, and it was assumed that the the area closer to the trackline than this was not surveyed. This distance was estimated by inspecting histograms of perpendicular sighting distances.

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| quality | Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant <br> factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods). |
| size | Estimated size (number of individuals) of the sighted group. |

Table 41: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.

| Key | Adjustment | Order | Covariates | Succeeded | $\Delta$ AIC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| hr Mean ESHW (m) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | quality, size | Yes | 0.00 | 235 |


| hr |  |  | size | Yes | 5.95 | 231 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 7.81 | 233 |
| hr |  |  | quality | Yes | 11.76 | 213 |
| hn |  |  | size | Yes | 14.26 | 231 |
| hn |  |  | quality, size | Yes | 14.51 | 233 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 16.23 | 231 |
| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 20.06 | 203 |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 21.78 | 200 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 22.05 | 204 |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | Yes | 22.06 | 203 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 33.54 | 223 |
| hn |  |  | quality | Yes | 33.86 | 223 |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 35.13 | 222 |
| hn | cos | 2 |  | No |  |  |
| hn | $\cos$ | 3 |  | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |

Table 42: Candidate detection functions for NARWSS Grummans. The first one listed was selected for the density model.


Figure 59: Detection function for NARWSS Grummans that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : }28
Distance range : 106.5979 - 800
AIC : 3450.827
Detection function:
    Hazard-rate key function
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
            estimate se
(Intercept) 5.5620259 0.12398130
quality -0.2408179 0.09290192
size 0.2953779 0.09400126
```

Shape parameters:

```
        estimate se
```

(Intercept) 1.1199060 .1056045

|  | Estimate | SE | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average p | 0.2541682 | 0.03062592 | 0.1204947 |
| $N$ in covered region | 1121.3045461 | 147.37019002 | 0.1314274 |

Additional diagnostic plots:

## Left trucated sightings (in black)



Figure 60: Density of sightings by perpendicular distance for NARWSS Grummans. Black bars on the left show sightings that were left truncated.
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Figure 61: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.
quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.

quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at $\mathbf{8 0 0} \mathbf{m}$


Figure 62: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.


Figure 63: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

## NARWSS Twin Otters

Because this taxon was sighted too infrequently to fit a detection function to its sightings alone, we fit a detection function to the pooled sightings of several other species that we believed would exhibit similar detectability. These "proxy species" are listed below.

| Reported By Observer | Common Name | n |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus capensis | Long-beaked common dolphin | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis | Short-beaked common dolphin | 539 |


| Delphinus delphis/Lagenorhynchus acutus | Short-beaked common or Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella | Short-beaked common dolphin or Stenella spp. | 0 |
| Delphinus delphis/Stenella coeruleoalba | Short-beaked common or striped dolphin | 0 |
| Grampus griseus | Risso's dolphin | 86 |
| Grampus griseus/Tursiops truncatus | Risso's or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenodelphis hosei | Fraser's dolphin | 0 |
| Lagenorhynchus acutus | Atlantic white-sided dolphin | 1732 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris | White-beaked dolphin | 4 |
| Lagenorhynchus albirostris/Lagenorhynchus acutus | White-beaked or white-sided dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella | Unidentified Stenella | 1 |
| Stenella attenuata | Pantropical spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella attenuata/frontalis | Pantropical or Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella clymene | Clymene dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella coeruleoalba | Striped dolphin | 4 |
| Stenella frontalis | Atlantic spotted dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella frontalis/Tursiops truncatus | Atlantic spotted or Bottlenose dolphin | 0 |
| Stenella longirostris | Spinner dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis | Rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Steno bredanensis/Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose or rough-toothed dolphin | 0 |
| Tursiops truncatus | Bottlenose dolphin | 0405 |
| Total |  | 0 |

Table 43: Proxy species used to fit detection functions for NARWSS Twin Otters. The number of sightings, n , is before truncation.

The sightings were right truncated at 2500 m . Due to a reduced frequency of sightings close to the trackline that plausibly resulted from the behavior of the observers and/or the configuration of the survey platform, the sightings were left truncted as well. Sightings closer than 160 m to the trackline were omitted from the analysis, and it was assumed that the the area closer to the trackline than this was not surveyed. This distance was estimated by inspecting histograms of perpendicular sighting distances. The vertical sighting angles were heaped at 10 degree increments up to 80 degrees and 1 degree increments thereafter, so the candidate detection functions were fitted using linear bins scaled accordingly.

| Covariate | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| beaufort | Beaufort sea state. |
| quality | Survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions, utilizing relevant <br> factors other than Beaufort sea state (see methods). <br> size |

Table 44: Covariates tested in candidate "multi-covariate distance sampling" (MCDS) detection functions.
Key Adjustment Order Covariates $\quad$ Succeeded $\Delta$ AIC Mean ESHW (m)

| hr |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 0.00 | 470 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hr |  |  | size | Yes | 5.29 | 463 |
| hr |  |  | quality, size | Yes | 7.11 | 463 |
| hr | poly | 2 |  | Yes | 9.16 | 430 |
| hr | poly | 4 |  | Yes | 10.71 | 442 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 17.46 | 464 |
| hr |  |  |  | Yes | 22.55 | 458 |
| hr |  |  | quality | Yes | 24.49 | 458 |
| hn | cos | 2 |  | Yes | 33.82 | 434 |
| hn | cos | 3 |  | Yes | 54.89 | 361 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, size | Yes | 162.73 | 517 |
| hn |  |  | size | Yes | 162.85 | 518 |
| hn |  |  | quality, size | Yes | 164.00 | 518 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | Yes | 164.45 | 517 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort | Yes | 185.34 | 516 |
| hn |  |  |  | Yes | 186.28 | 516 |
| hn | herm | 4 |  | Yes | 186.91 | 516 |
| hn |  |  | beaufort, quality | Yes | 187.34 | 516 |
| hn |  |  | quality | Yes | 188.03 | 516 |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality | No |  |  |
| hr |  |  | beaufort, quality, size | No |  |  |

Table 45: Candidate detection functions for NARWSS Twin Otters. The first one listed was selected for the density model.


Figure 64: Detection function for NARWSS Twin Otters that was selected for the density model

Statistical output for this detection function:

```
Summary for ds object
Number of observations : }198
Distance range : 160.0674 - 2500
AIC : 6745.856
Detection function:
    Hazard-rate key function
Detection function parameters
Scale Coefficients:
            estimate se
(Intercept) 6.26395198 0.06468196
beaufort -0.07274292 0.02643651
size 0.08974254 0.02445737
```

Shape parameters:

```
        estimate se
```

(Intercept) 1.1104830 .0356417

|  | Estimate | SE | CV |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average p | $1.845364 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $5.774489 \mathrm{e}-03$ | 0.03129187 |
| N in covered region | $1.076752 \mathrm{e}+04$ | $4.016208 \mathrm{e}+02$ | 0.03729928 |

Additional diagnostic plots:

## Left trucated sightings (in black)



Figure 65: Density of sightings by perpendicular distance for NARWSS Twin Otters. Black bars on the left show sightings that were left truncated.
beaufort vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Figure 66: Scatterplots showing the relationship between Beaufort sea state and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). The line is a simple linear regression.
quality vs. Distance, without right trunc.

quality vs. Distance, right trunc. at 2500 m


Figure 67: Scatterplots showing the relationship between the survey-specific index of the quality of observation conditions and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (left) and only those not right truncated (right). Low values of the quality index correspond to better observation conditions. The line is a simple linear regression.

Group Size Frequency, without right trunc.


Group Size Frequency, right trunc. at $\mathbf{2 5 0 0} \mathbf{m}$


Group Size vs. Distance, without right trunc.


Group Size vs. Distance, right trunc. at 2500 m


Figure 68: Histograms showing group size frequency and scatterplots showing the relationship between group size and perpendicular sighting distance, for all sightings (top row) and only those not right truncated (bottom row). In the scatterplot, the line is a simple linear regression.

| Platform | Surveys | Group <br> Size | $g(0)$ | Biases <br> Addressed | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shipboard | All | 1-20 | 0.856 | Perception | Barlow and Forney (2007) |
|  |  | $>20$ | 0.970 | Perception | Barlow and Forney (2007) |
| Shipboard | NEFSC Abel-J Binocular Surveys | Any | 0.61 | Perception | Palka (2006) |
| Shipboard | NEFSC Endeavor | Any | 0.94 | Perception | Palka (2006) |
| Aerial | All | 1-5 | 0.43 | Both | Palka (2006) |
|  |  | $>5$ | 0.960 | Both | Carretta et al. (2000) |

Table 46: Estimates of $g(0)$ used in this density model.

For shipboard surveys other than the NOAA NEFSC cruises for which Palka (2006) provided survey-specific estimates of $g(0)$, we utilized Barlow and Forney's (2007) estimates for delphinids, produced from several years of dual-team surveys that used similar binoculars and protocols to the surveys in our study. This study provided separate estimates for small and large groups, but pooled sightings of several species together to provide a generic estimate for all delphinids, due to sample-size limitations. To our knowledge, there is no species-specific shipboard $g(0)$ estimate that treats small and large groups separately, so we believe Barlow and Forney (2007) provide the best general- purpose alternative. Their estimate accounted for perception bias but not availability bias; dive times for dolphins are short enough that availability bias is not expected to be significant for dolphins observed from shipboard surveys.

For aerial surveys, we were unable to locate species-specific $g(0)$ estimates in the literature. For small groups, defined here as $1-5$ individuals, we used Palka's (2006) estimate of $g(0)$ for groups of 1-5 small cetaceans, estimated from two years of aerial surveys using the Hiby (1999) circle-back method. This estimate accounted for both availability and perception bias, but pooled sightings of several species together to provide a generic estimate for all delphinids, due to sample-size limitations. For large groups, defined here as greater than 5 individuals, Palka (2006) assumed that $\mathrm{g}(0)$ was 1 . When we discussed this with NOAA SWFSC reviewers, they agreed that it was safe to assume that the availability bias component of $g(0)$ was 1 but insisted that perception bias should be slightly less than 1 , because it was possible to miss large groups. We agreed to take a conservative approach and obtained our $g(0)$ for large groups from Carretta et al. (2000), who estimated $g(0)$ for both small and large groups of delphinids. We used Carretta et al.'s $g(0)$ estimate for groups of 1-25 individuals (0.960), rather than their larger one for more than 25 individuals (0.994), to account for the fact that we were using Palka's definition of large groups as those with more than 5 individuals.

## Density Model

The pantropical spotted dolphin occurs worldwide in tropical, sub-tropical, and some warm-temperate waters between 40 N and about 40 S ; outside of the Pacific Ocean, its distribution is primarily oceanic (Perrin 2001). It the most abundant oceanic ( $>200 \mathrm{~m}$ depth) delphinid in the Gulf of Mexico (Jefferson and Schiro 1997). In our east coast study area, the surveys utilized in our analysis reported only 17 sightings over the study period, 1992-2014. Most were reported over the continental slope or abyssal waters in the southern half of the study area, consistent with the literature's description of a warm-water, oceanic species. Four sightings were reported at 40.6 N on August 16,1998 just off Georges Bank. At our request, E. Josephson at NOAA NEFSC reviewed the original records and confirmed that sketches and written descriptions indicate that these were pantropical spotted dolphins. Finally, two were reported on the shelf in the southern half of the study area (the original records for these were not reexamined).

With so few sightings we could not model density from environmental predictors; we fitted a stratified model instead. We first split the study area at the shelf break, defined here as the 125 m isobath, and estimated mean density for all surveys that occurred in the oceanic "Slope and Abyss" region. We allowed this region to extend to the northernmost extent of the study area on the basis that the four sightings at 40.6 N occurred beyond the species' range reported in the literature, but acknowledge that presence in the far north is unlikely. We split the continental shelf waters at Cape Hatteras. North of here,
we assumed the species was absent, on the basis that cold, neritic waters are poor habitat for this species (and no sightings were reported in the region). South of Cape Hatteras, we estimated mean density from the two sightings that occurred there.


Figure 69: Pantropical spotted dolphin density model schematic. All sightings are shown, including those that were truncated when detection functions were fitted. The coefficient of variation (CV) underestimates the true uncertainty of our estimate, as it only incorporated the uncertainty of the GAM stage of our model. Other sources of uncertainty include the detection functions and $g(0)$ estimates. It was not possible to incorporate these into our CV without undertaking a computationally-prohibitive bootstrap; we hope to attempt that in a future version of our model.

## Abundance Estimates

| Dates | Model or study | Estimated <br> abundance | Assumed <br> $\mathrm{g}(0)=1$ | In our <br> models |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1992-2013 | Our model | 4436 | 0.33 | No |  |
| Jun-Aug 2004 | Maryland to Bay of Fundy (Waring et al. 2007) | 0 | 0.00 | No | Yes |
| Jun-Aug 2004 | Florida to Maryland (Waring et al. 2007) | 4439 | 0.49 | No | Yes |
| Jun-Aug 2004 | Florida to Bay of Fundy, combined | 4439 | 0.49 | No | Yes |
| Jul-Sep 1998 | Maryland to to Gulf of St. Lawrence (only on- <br> shelf in Nova Scotia) (Waring et al. 2007) | 343 | 1.03 | No | Yes |
| Jul-Aug 1998 | Florida to Maryland (Waring et al. 2007) | 12747 | 0.56 | Yes | Yes |
| Jul-Sep 1998 | Maryland to Gulf of St. Lawrence, combined | 13090 |  | Yes/No | Yes |

Table 47: Estimated mean abundance within the study area for our model and independent estimates from NOAA and/or the scientific literature. The Dates column gives the dates to which the estimates apply. For our model, these are the years for survey data were available. Our coefficient of variation (CV) estimates are probably too low, as they only incorporated the uncertainty of the GAM stage of our models. Other sources of uncertainty include the detection functions and $g(0)$ estimates. It was not possible to incorporate these into our CVs without undertaking a computationally-prohibitive bootstrap; we hope to attempt that in a future version of our models. The Assumed $\mathrm{g}(0)=1$ column specifies whether the abundance estimate assumed that detection was certain along the survey trackline. Studies that assumed this did not correct for availability or perception bias, and therefore underestimated abundance. The In our models column specifies whether the survey data from the study was also used in our models. If not, the study provides a completely independent estimate of abundance. Note that our abundance estimates are averaged over the whole year, while the other estimates apply to specific months or seasons. Please see the Discussion section below for our evaluation of our models compared to the other estimates.

## Discussion

Our stratified model estimated 4436 pantropical spotted dolphins, with 4406 off-shelf and 30 on-shelf. This estimate was very similar to NOAA's most recent estimate of 4439, from 2004 (Waring et al. 2007).

The known ecology of this species and the pattern of sightings in the southeast suggest that it is more common in the southern part of the study area. Given additional sightings from future surveys, we could attempt a habitat-based density model. To facilitate this, we recommend additional surveying of off-shelf waters throughout the latitudinal extent of the study area.
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[^0]:    *For questions, or to offer feedback about this model or report, please contact Jason Roberts (jason.roberts@duke.edu)

